arXiv:0903.0757v1 [math.DS] 4 Mar 2009

HAUSDORFF MEASURE OF JULIA SETS IN THE EXPONENTIAL FAMILY

JÖRN PETER

Abstract. We consider the Hausdorff measure of Julia sets and escaping sets of exponential maps with respect to certain gauge functions. We give conditions on the growth of the gauge function which imply that the measure is zero or infinity, respectively.

1. Main result and outline

1.1. **Introduction and main result.** The exponential family consists of all functions $E_\lambda(z) := \lambda e^z$, where $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$. Denote by E_λ^n the n-th iterate of E_λ. The *Fatou set* $\mathcal{F}(E_\lambda)$ is the set of points where the iterates E_λ^n of E_λ form a normal family in the sense of Montel (or equivalently, where the iterates are equicontinuous). The complement of $\mathcal{F}(E_\lambda)$ is the *Julia set* $\mathcal{J}(E_\lambda)$. The *escaping set* $I(E_\lambda)$ is the set of all points z such that $E_\lambda^n(z)$ tends to infinity as $n \to \infty$. A result of Eremenko and Lyubich [5] implies that $I(E_\lambda) \subset \mathcal{J}(E_\lambda)$. The function E_λ is called *hyperbolic* if there exists $z_0 \in \mathbb{C}$ with $E_\lambda^n(z_0) = z_0$ and $|(E_\lambda^n)'(z_0)| < 1$.

A *gauge function* is a monotonically increasing function $h : [0, \varepsilon) \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ (where $\varepsilon > 0$) which is continuous from the right and satisfies $h(0) = 0$. Define

$$\mathcal{H}^h(A) := \lim_{\delta \to 0} \inf \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} h(\text{diam } A_i) \;\middle|\; \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i \supset A,\ \text{diam } A_i < \delta \text{ for every } i \right\}.$$

Then $\mathcal{H}^h$ is a metric outer measure on $\mathbb{C}$, called the *Hausdorff measure with respect to h*. In the special case where $h^s(t) := t^s$ for some $s > 0$, $\mathcal{H}^{h^s}$ is the *s-dimensional outer Hausdorff measure*. Given $A \subset \mathbb{C}$, it is well known that there exists $s_0 \geq 0$ such that $\mathcal{H}^{h^s}(A) = \infty$ if $s < s_0$ and $\mathcal{H}^{h^s}(A) = 0$ if $s > s_0$. This value s_0 is called the *Hausdorff dimension* of the set A, which we will denote by $\text{HD}(A)$.

It was shown by McMullen [9] that $\text{HD}(\mathcal{J}(E_\lambda)) = 2$ for all λ, whereas Julia sets of hyperbolic exponentials have zero Lebesgue measure (the latter result was shown independently by Eremenko and Lyubich, [6]). McMullen further remarked that $\mathcal{J}(E_\lambda)$ always has infinite Hausdorff measure with respect to the gauge functions $t \mapsto t^2 \log^k(1/t)$, where $k \in \mathbb{N}$ is arbitrary.

These results give rise to the question of characterizing the gauge functions h with $\mathcal{H}^h(\mathcal{J}(E_\lambda)) = \infty$ (resp. $\mathcal{H}^h(\mathcal{J}(E_\lambda)) = 0$ if E_λ is hyperbolic).

If $0 < \lambda < 1/e$, E_λ has exactly two real fixed points α_λ and β_λ, where α_λ is *attracting* (i.e. $E_\lambda'(\alpha_\lambda) < 1$) and β_λ is *repelling* (i.e. $E_\lambda'(\beta_\lambda) > 1$). Recall that a classical result of Kœnigs implies that there exists a holomorphic function Φ_λ, defined in a neighborhood V of β_λ, which satisfies $\Phi_\lambda(\beta_\lambda) = 0$, $\Phi_\lambda'(\beta_\lambda) = 1$ and

$$\Phi_\lambda(E_\lambda(z)) = \beta_\lambda \Phi_\lambda(z) \text{ for all } z \text{ such that } z, E_\lambda(z) \in V. \tag{1.1}$$

The proof of Kœnigs' theorem (and of some other results stated here without proof) can be found in standard monographs about complex dynamics (e.g. [1], [10], [17]).

It is easy to see that $\Phi_\lambda(U \cap \mathbb{R}) \subset \mathbb{R}$ and that (1.1) admits a real-valued continuation of Φ_λ to $[\beta_\lambda, \infty)$. Further, $\Phi_\lambda(x)$ tends to ∞ as $x \to \infty$, but slower than any iterate $\log^k$ of the logarithm.

Our main result is the following:

Theorem 1.1 *Let* $\lambda_0 \in (0, 1/e)$*. Define* β_{λ_0} *and* Φ_{λ_0} *as above. Let* $K_{\lambda_0} := \frac{\log 2}{\log \beta_{\lambda_0}}$ *and let* $h(t) = t^2 g(t)$ *be a gauge function.*

(a) *If*

$$\liminf_{t \to 0} \frac{\log g(t)}{\log \Phi_{\lambda_0}(1/t)} > K_{\lambda_0},$$

then $\mathcal{H}^h(\mathcal{J}(E_\lambda)) = \infty$ *for every* $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$*. The measure* $\mathcal{H}^h$ *is not even* σ*-finite on* $\mathcal{J}(E_\lambda)$*.*

(b) *If*

$$\limsup_{t \to 0} \frac{\log g(t)}{\log \Phi_{\lambda_0}(1/t)} < K_{\lambda_0},$$

then $\mathcal{H}^h(\mathcal{J}(E_\lambda)) = 0$ *if* $\lambda \in (0, 1/e)$*.*

We will prove this theorem by showing that if $h(t) = h_{\lambda_0,\gamma}(t) := t^2 \Phi_{\lambda_0}(1/t)^\gamma$, then $\mathcal{H}^h(\mathcal{J}(E_\lambda)) = \infty$ when $\gamma > \log 2/\log \beta_{\lambda_0}$ and (provided that $\lambda \in (0, 1/e)$) $\mathcal{H}^h(\mathcal{J}(E_\lambda)) = 0$ when $\gamma < \log 2/\log \beta_{\lambda_0}$. Hence we will use the functions $h_{\lambda,\gamma}$ very frequently.

We show further that statement (a) of the above theorem remains true if we replace $\mathcal{J}(E_\lambda)$ by $I(E_\lambda)$, and that statement (b) is still valid if λ is a hyperbolic parameter or if we replace $\mathcal{J}(E_\lambda)$ by $I(E_\lambda)$ and λ is arbitrary. In (b), the constant K_{λ_0} then has to be replaced by a smaller constant which not only depends on λ_0, but also on λ.

There is a vast literature on dynamics of exponential maps. We mention only a few results. Devaney and Krych [4] proved that $\mathcal{J}(E_\lambda)$ is a 'Cantor bouquet' for $0 < \lambda < 1/e$, i.e. it is homeomorphic to the product of a Cantor set and the line $[0, \infty)$. Schleicher and Zimmer [16] proved that $I(E_\lambda)$ consists of curves for all λ. Karpińska ([7],[8]) proved the following dimension paradox: For $0 < \lambda < 1/e$, the Hausdorff dimension of the endpoints of the curves which form the Cantor bouquet is 2, but the Hausdorff dimension of the curves without endpoints is 1. Urbański and Zdunik [18] showed that the Hausdorff dimension of the set of non-escaping points in the Julia set of a hyperbolic exponential map is always less than 2. Further, Rempe [12] proved an analogue of Böttcher's theorem for exponential maps. The last two results mentioned will be used later in this work.

For an introduction to the dynamics of exponential maps, we refer the reader to the extensive surveys by Devaney [3] and Schleicher [15], as well as Rempe's article mentioned above. For an introduction to general transcendental dynamics, see e.g. Bergweiler [2].

1.2. **Outline of the paper.** In section 2, we provide some notations that we will use throughout this work. After that, we review some basic results from function theory and apply them to obtain results about distortion of holomorphic maps.

In section 3, we develop a sufficient condition for a gauge function h such that $\mathcal{J}(E_\lambda)$ has infinite (not even σ-finite) Hausdorff measure with respect to h. The ideas of the proof are due to McMullen, but we have to estimate things more carefully.

Section 4 gives a sufficient condition for a gauge function h such that $\mathcal{J}(E_\lambda)$ has zero Hausdorff measure with respect to h. In contrast to section 3, this h depends on λ. Further, λ is restricted to values between 0 and $1/e$.

In section 5, we show that the restriction that h depends on λ in unnecessary by showing that if $\beta_{\lambda_1}^{\gamma_1} = \beta_{\lambda_2}^{\gamma_2}$, the two resulting gauge functions from section 4 have the same growth, i.e. their quotient can be estimated from above and below by positive constants. After that, we prove Theorem 1.1 and describe how its statement can be generalized to Julia sets of hyperbolic exponential maps and escaping sets of arbitrary exponential maps.

Acknowledgements. I deeply thank Walter Bergweiler for his constant support and advice. I am grateful to Albert Clop, Adam Epstein, Boguslawa Karpińska, Janina Kotus, Lasse Rempe and Dierk Schleicher for many interesting and fruitful discussions about this work. Further, I thank the EU Research Training Network CODY for their financial support.

2. Notations and preliminaries

2.1. **Notations.** For $z \in \mathbb{C}$, let $\Re z$ and $\Im z$ denote the real and imaginary parts of z. If $z_0 \in \mathbb{C}$ and $r > 0$, we write $D(z_0, r)$ for the disc in $\mathbb{C}$ with center z_0 and radius r with respect to the euclidean metric. By $\mathbb{D} := D(0,1)$ we denote the open unit disc in $\mathbb{C}$. For $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$, let $Q(z_0, r, \theta)$ be the square with center z_0 and side length r whose sides have angle θ with the coordinate axes. Thus

$$Q(0, r, 0) = \left\{ z \in \mathbb{C} \;\middle|\; \max\{\Re z, \Im z\} < \frac{r}{2} \right\}$$

and

$$Q(z_0, r, \theta) = z_0 + e^{i\theta} Q(0, r, 0).$$

If the angle θ is not important, we will suppress it and just write $Q(z_0, r)$ in order to increase readability. If $A \subset \mathbb{C}$ is Lebesgue-measurable, we denote its Lebesgue measure by $|A|$. If $A, B \subset \mathbb{C}$ are (Lebesgue-) measurable and $0 < |B| < \infty$, we write $\operatorname{dens}(A, B)$ for the density of A in B, i.e.

$$\operatorname{dens}(A, B) := \frac{|A \cap B|}{|B|}.$$

If x is a real number, we denote by $[x]$ the largest integer which is not greater than x. We denote the *postcritical set* of E_λ by $P(E_\lambda)$, i.e.

$$P(E_\lambda) := \overline{\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0} E_\lambda^n(0)}.$$

For the remainder of this paper, let $\lambda' \in (0, 1/e)$ be fixed. Set $E := E_{\lambda'}$, $\beta := \beta_{\lambda'}$, $\Phi := \Phi_{\lambda'}$ and $h_\gamma := h_{\lambda', \gamma}$ for $\gamma > 0$ in order to suppress indices (for the definition of the right sides, see section 1).

2.2. **Basic results.** In this section, we provide most of the tools that are needed to prove Theorem 1.1.

We start with the so-called 'blow-up property' of Julia sets. Recall that the backward orbit of $z \in \mathbb{C}$ consists of all points $w \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $f^n(w) = z$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Lemma 2.1 *Let f be an entire function. If $U \subset \mathbb{C}$ is an open set intersecting $\mathcal{J}(f)$ and $K \subset \mathbb{C}$ is a compact set which does not contain any point with finite backward orbit, then there exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $f^n(U) \supset K$ for all $n \geq n_0$.*

The next result follows directly from Cauchy's integral formula:

Lemma 2.2 *If a holomorhic function f maps $D(z_0, r)$ into a disk of radius s, then*

$$|f'(z_0)| \leq \frac{s}{r}.$$

The following lemma is a simple application of the Koebe growth and distortion theorems.

Lemma 2.3 *Let $z_0 \in \mathbb{C}$, $r > 0$, $f : D(z_0, r) \to \mathbb{C}$ be a univalent function and $z \in D(z_0, r)$. Then*

$$r^2 \, |f'(z_0)| \, \frac{r - |z - z_0|}{(r + |z - z_0|)^3} \quad \leq \quad |f'(z)| \quad \leq \quad r^2 \, |f'(z_0)| \, \frac{r + |z - z_0|}{(r - |z - z_0|)^3} \tag{2.1}$$

and

$$r^2 \, |f'(z_0)| \, \frac{|z - z_0|}{(r + |z - z_0|)^2} \quad \leq \quad |f(z) - f(z_0)| \quad \leq \quad r^2 \, |f'(z_0)| \, \frac{|z - z_0|}{(r - |z - z_0|)^2} \tag{2.2}$$

Koebe's theorems imply in particular that the class S of all univalent functions $f : \mathbb{D} \to \mathbb{C}$ such that $f(0) = 0$ and $f'(0) = 1$ is normal. This yields the following result.

Theorem 2.4 *For every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that if $f \in S$ and $z \in \mathbb{D}$ with $|z| < \delta$, then*

$$\left| \frac{f(z)}{z} - 1 \right| < \varepsilon.$$

Let $A \subset \mathbb{C}$ either be open and bounded or the closure of such a set. A function $f : A \to \mathbb{C}$ is said to have *bounded distortion* if f is a bilipschitz mapping, i.e.

$$0 < c_f := \inf_{\substack{z,w \in A \\ z \neq w}} \frac{|f(z) - f(w)|}{|z - w|} \leq \sup_{\substack{z,w \in A \\ z \neq w}} \frac{|f(z) - f(w)|}{|z - w|} =: C_f < \infty.$$

The distortion of f is then defined as $D(f) := C_f / c_f$.

It can be easily shown that if A is open and f has bounded distortion, then f extends to a function on $\overline{A}$ with the same distortion as f. Conversely, if A is the closure of an open bounded set, then $f|_{\text{int}(A)}$ has the same distortion as f. Note that maps with bounded distortion are injective.

Some simple properties of holomorphic functions with bounded distortion are summarized in the following lemma.

Lemma 2.5 *Let $U \subset \mathbb{C}$ be bounded and open. Let $f : U \to \mathbb{C}$ be a holomorphic function with bounded distortion. Then the following statements hold:*

(a) $D(f) = D(f^{-1})$

(b) *If $V \supset f(U)$ is a domain and $g : V \to \mathbb{C}$ is univalent with bounded distortion, then $D(g \circ f) \leq D(g)D(f)$*

(c) *If $A \subset U$ is Lebesgue-measurable, then $f(A)$ is Lebesgue-measurable and*

$$dens(A, U) \leq D(f)^2 dens(f(A), f(U))$$

(d) *For every $z \in U$,*

$$c_f \leq |f'(z)| \leq c_f D(f)$$

Using the Koebe theorems, we obtain a simple result which will allow us to estimate the distortion of a map:

Lemma 2.6 *Let $z_0 \in \mathbb{C}, r > 0, K > 3$. Let $f : D(z_0, Kr) \to \mathbb{C}$ be a univalent function. Then*

$$D\left(f|_{D(z_0,r)}\right) < \left(\frac{K+1}{K-3}\right)^6.$$

From this result we obtain immediately

Lemma 2.7 *Let $Q_1, \ldots, Q_n$ be a finite sequence of squares in $\mathbb{C}$ with the same side length r, i.e. $Q_i = Q(a_i, r)$ for some $a_i \in \mathbb{C}$. Let $K > 3$ and $f_i : Q_i \to \mathbb{C}$ be univalent maps such that $Q_{i+1} \subset f_i(Q_i)$ for every $1 \leq i \leq n-1$. Suppose that both f_n and $f_1^{-1} \circ \ldots \circ f_{n-1}^{-1}|_{Q_n}$ can be extended univalently to $D(a_n, Kr/\sqrt{2})$. Let*

$$F := (f_n \circ \ldots \circ f_1)^{-1} : f_n(Q_n) \to Q_1.$$

Then

$$D(F) \leq \left(\frac{K+1}{K-3}\right)^{12}$$

independent of n.

In particular, if there exists an entire function f such that all the f_i are restrictions of f to Q_i, then $(f^n)^{-1}$ (restricted to $f(Q_n)$) has uniformly bounded distortion. This was already shown by McMullen [9] using different techniques.

Now we are ready to state the result that any square which is small enough will be mapped under an arbitrary univalent function f to a set which is almost square-shaped. This result is an application of Theorem 2.4.

Lemma 2.8 *For every $1/\sqrt{2} > \varepsilon > 0$, there exists a constant $K > 1$ with the following property: Let $z_0 \in \mathbb{C}$, $r > 0$, $K' \geq K$, a univalent function $\tilde{f} : D\left(z_0, K'r/\sqrt{2}\right) \to \mathbb{C}$ and a square $Q = Q(z_0, r, \theta)$ be given. Let $f := \tilde{f}|_{\overline{Q}}$ and $d := D(f)$ be the distortion of f. Then*

$$Q\left(f(z_0), |f'(z_0)|\, r\frac{1}{d}\left(1 - \sqrt{2}\varepsilon\right), \theta + \arg f'(z_0)\right) \subset f(Q)$$

and

$$f(Q) \subset Q\left(f(z_0), |f'(z_0)|\, rd\left(1+\sqrt{2}\varepsilon\right), \theta + \arg f'(z_0)\right).$$

3. The estimate from below

In this section, we prove that if $\beta^\gamma > 2$, then the Julia set of any exponential map E_λ has infinite (even non-σ-finite) Hausdorff measure with respect to h_γ.

3.1. **Preparations.** We keep this section rather short, since most of the work done here is an obvious generalization of methods developed by McMullen or consists of simple calculations.

We first define what it means for a family of sets to satisfy the 'nesting conditions'.

Definition 3.1 (nesting conditions) For $k \in \mathbb{N}$, let $\mathcal{A}_k$ be a finite collection of compact, disjoint and connected subsets of $\mathbb{C}$ with positive Lebesgue-measure. Let A_k be the union of the elements of $\mathcal{A}_k$. We say that $\{\mathcal{A}_k\}$ satisfies the *nesting conditions* if it has the following three properties:

(a) For every $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $F \in \mathcal{A}_{k+1}$, there exists some $F' \in \mathcal{A}_k$ such that $F \subset F'$.

(b) There exists a decreasing sequence (d_k) converging to 0 such that

$$\max_{F\in\mathcal{A}_k} \operatorname{diam}(F) \le d_k \text{ for all } k \in \mathbb{N}$$

(c) There exists a sequence (Δ_k) of positive reals such that

$$\operatorname{dens}(A_{k+1}, F) \ge \Delta_k \text{ for all } k \in \mathbb{N}, F \in \mathcal{A}_k.$$

The intersection $A := \bigcap_{k=1}^\infty A_k$ is a non-empty and compact set.

We will use the following Frostman-type lemma (see e.g. [11], Theorem 7.6.1).

Lemma 3.2 *Let h be a continuous gauge function and μ be a Borel probability measure on $\mathbb{C}$. Let $X \subset \mathbb{C}$ be μ-measurable and $0 < c < \infty$. If*

$$\limsup_{r\to 0} \frac{\mu(D(z,r))}{h(r)} \le c \text{ for all } z \in X, \tag{3.1}$$

then $\mathcal{H}^h(X) \ge \mu(X)/c$.

The key lemma to the proof of this section's main result is

Lemma 3.3 *Let $\{\mathcal{A}_k\}$ be a collection of families of sets which satisfies the nesting conditions (with properly chosen sequences (d_k) and (Δ_k)). Let A be defined as above. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ and $g : (0, \varepsilon) \to \mathbb{R}_{\ge 0}$ be a decreasing continuous function such that $t^2 g(t)$ is increasing. Further, suppose that $\lim_{t\to 0} t^2 g(t) = 0$ and*

$$\lim_{k\to\infty} g(d_k) \prod_{j=1}^{k} \Delta_j = \infty. \tag{3.2}$$

Define

$$h : [0,\varepsilon) \to \mathbb{R}, t \mapsto \begin{cases} t^2 g(t) & , t > 0 \\ 0 & , t = 0 \end{cases}$$

Then h is a continuous gauge function and we have $\mathcal{H}^h(A) = \infty$.

Proof. The proof follows ideas of McMullen ([9], Proposition 2.2), we only give a sketch here. First note that h is clearly a continuous gauge function.

For $F \in \mathcal{A}_1$, we define $\tau_1(F) := |F| / |A_1|$. For $F \in \mathcal{A}_{k+1}$, there exists a unique $G \in \mathcal{A}_k$ such that $F \subset G$. Denoting by F_i the elements of $\mathcal{A}_{k+1}$ that are contained in G, we define

$$\tau_{k+1}(F) := \frac{|F|}{\sum\limits_i |F_i|} \tau_k(G).$$

Now we set $\mathcal{X} := \bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{A}_k \cup \bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \{M \subset \mathbb{C} \mid M \cap A_k = \emptyset\}$ and define τ by

$$\tau : \mathcal{X} \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}, B \mapsto \begin{cases} \tau_k(B) & , B \in \mathcal{A}_k \\ 0 & , B \notin \bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{A}_k \end{cases}$$

By setting

$$\eta(B) := \lim_{\delta \to 0} \inf \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \tau(B_i) \,\middle|\, B \subset \bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} B_i, B_i \in \mathcal{X}, \operatorname{diam}(B_i) < \delta \right\},$$

we obtain a metric outer measure on $\mathbb{C}$. The restriction μ of η to all η-measurable sets is a Borel probability measure supported on A with $\mu(A) = 1$. It is easy to see that μ coincides with τ on every $\mathcal{A}_k$.

Let $z \in A$, $r > 0$ and $D := D(z, r)$. Choose $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $d_k > r \geq d_{k+1}$. Note that k tends to infinity if and only if r tends to 0. Let $\tilde{D}$ be the union of all sets in $\mathcal{A}_{k+1}$ which intersect D. Then $\operatorname{diam}(\tilde{D}) \leq 4r$. Since μ is supported on A, we see that $\mu(D) \leq \mu(\tilde{D}) + \mu(D \setminus \tilde{D}) = \mu(\tilde{D})$. Further, it is not difficult to show that $\mu(\tilde{D}) \leq \frac{|\tilde{D}|}{|A_1|} \frac{1}{\Delta_1 \cdots \Delta_k}$. Combining the previous three estimates yields $\mu(D) \leq \frac{|D(z,4r)|}{|A_1|} \frac{1}{\Delta_1 \cdots \Delta_k}$. Since

$$\frac{|D(z,4r)|}{|A_1|} \frac{1}{\Delta_1 \cdots \Delta_k} = Cr^2 g(r) \frac{1}{g(r) \Delta_1 \cdots \Delta_k} \leq C \frac{1}{g(d_k) \Delta_1 \cdots \Delta_k} h(r)$$

and $C / (g(d_k) \Delta_1 \cdots \Delta_k) \to 0$ as $k \to \infty$ by the hypothesis, an application of Lemma 3.2 finishes the proof. □

Our goal is to construct a family of sets that, given $\varepsilon > 0$, satisfies the nesting conditions with $\Delta_k > \frac{1}{2} - \varepsilon$, $d_k < 1/E^k(2\beta)$ and $A \subset \mathcal{J}(E_\lambda)$. Then we can use the above lemma and the functional equation for Φ to obtain the desired result.

In the remaining part of this section, let $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ be fixed. For $\delta > 0$, we define

$$I_\delta := \left[-\frac{\pi}{2} + \delta - \arg \lambda, \frac{\pi}{2} - \delta - \arg \lambda \right]$$

and

$$J_\delta := \{ z \in \mathbb{C} \mid \Im z \in (I_\delta + 2\pi i k) \text{ for some } k \in \mathbb{Z} \}.$$

If $z = x + iy \in J_\delta$, then $\arg E_\lambda(z) = \arg \lambda + y$, and hence

$$\Re E_\lambda(z) = |\lambda| \, e^{\Re z} \cos \arg E_\lambda(z) \geq |\lambda| \cos \left(\frac{\pi}{2} - \delta \right) e^{\Re z} =: C e^{\Re z}. \tag{3.3}$$

Consequently the real part of $E_\lambda^n(z)$ grows exponentially fast as long as the iterates $E_\lambda^n(z)$ stay in J_δ and $\Re z$ is large enough, so $z \in I(E_\lambda) \subset \mathcal{J}(E_\lambda)$ if

- $E_\lambda^n(z) \in J_\delta$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and
- z belongs to a suitable right half plane.

To estimate the densities Δ_k of our sets later on, we need the following lemma, which we do not prove it in full detail since its statement is quite obvious. We introduce a notation first and state the lemma afterwards.

Definition 3.4 (r-box, r-packing) For $r > 0$, a subset $Q \subset \mathbb{C}$ is an *r-box* if $Q = \overline{Q(z_0, r, 0)}$ for some $z_0 \in \mathbb{C}$, i.e. a square of side length r with sides parallel to the coordinate axes. For a set $B \subset \mathbb{C}$ and $r > 0$, an *r-packing* of B is a union of disjoint r-boxes which are contained in B.

Lemma 3.5 *For every $\delta, \delta' > 0$, there exists $r_0 > 0$ with the following property: If $r < r_0$, we can find $c(r) > 0$ such that for every $z_0 \in \mathbb{C}, c > c(r)$ and $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$, the set $Q := Q(z_0, cr, \theta) \cap J_\delta$ has an r-packing* pack_Q *which satisfies*

$$\mathrm{dens}(\mathrm{pack}_Q, Q) > \frac{1}{2} - \frac{\delta}{\pi} - \delta'.$$

Proof. It is easy to see that for every $r > 0$, there exists $c > 0$ which satisfies

$$\mathrm{dens}(J_\delta, Q(z_0, rc, \theta)) > \frac{1}{2} - \frac{\delta}{\pi} - \frac{\delta'}{2} \text{ for every } z_0 \in \mathbb{C}, \theta \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Let $Q = Q(z_0, rc, \theta)$ be an arbitrary square in $\mathbb{C}$. In order to find our r-packing of Q, we fix some small $\varepsilon > 0$ and cover $\mathbb{C}$ with an $(r+\varepsilon)$-grid of $(r+\varepsilon)$-boxes. We define an r-packing pack_Q of $Q \cap J_\delta$ as follows: An r-box $Q(z, r, 0)$ is contained in pack_Q if and only if $Q(z, r+\varepsilon, 0)$ is completely contained in $J_\delta \cap Q$ and belongs to the $(r+\varepsilon)$-grid.

We want to estimate the number of $(r+\varepsilon)$-boxes which are contained in pack_Q. First, note that at least $\left[\frac{(rc)^2}{(r+\varepsilon)^2}\right]$ squares from the grid intersect Q, because otherwise the sum of the areas of the grid squares would be less than $(rc)^2 = |Q|$ which is a contradiction. Since

$$|J_\delta \cap Q| > \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{\delta}{\pi} - \frac{\delta'}{2}\right) |Q|,$$

it follows that the number of grid squares that intersect $J_\delta \cap Q$ is at least

$$\left[\left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{\delta}{\pi} - \frac{\delta'}{2}\right) \frac{(rc)^2}{(r+\varepsilon)^2}\right].$$

The number of grid squares that intersect Q and the boundary of a particular strip T in J_δ is bounded by $\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{rc}{r+\varepsilon}\right) = \mathcal{O}(c)$, and there are $\mathcal{O}(rc)$ strips in J_δ intersecting Q. Hence it follows that the number of grid squares that intersect $\partial J_\delta \cap Q$ is bounded by $\mathcal{O}(rc^2)$. Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{dens}(\mathrm{pack}_Q, Q) &\geq \frac{(r+\varepsilon)^2}{r^2c^2} \left(\left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{\delta}{\pi} - \frac{\delta'}{2}\right) \frac{r^2c^2}{(r+\varepsilon)^2} - 1 - \mathcal{O}(rc^2) \right) \\
&= \frac{1}{2} - \frac{\delta}{\pi} - \frac{\delta'}{2} + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{c^2}\right) + \mathcal{O}(r) \\
&> \frac{1}{2} - \frac{\delta}{\pi} - \delta'
\end{aligned}$$

if r is small enough and c is large enough. □

The next result, which is mainly an application of the preceding lemma, will help us to estimate the densities of our nested sets.

Lemma 3.6 *For every $\tilde{\varepsilon} > 0$, there exist $\delta > 0$ and $r_0 > 0$ with the following property: If $r \leq r_0$, we can find $x > 0$ such that if*

$$Q := Q(z_0, r, \theta) \subset (J_\delta \cap \{z \mid \Re z > x\}),$$

then there exists an r-packing $\operatorname{pack}_{E_\lambda(Q)}$ of $E_\lambda(Q) \cap J_\delta$ with

$$\operatorname{dens}(\operatorname{pack}_{E_\lambda(Q)}, E_\lambda(Q)) > \frac{1}{2} - \tilde{\varepsilon}.$$

Proof. Let $\varepsilon > 0$. Because E_λ is univalent on $D(z, \pi)$ for all $z \in \mathbb{C}$, we conclude from Lemma 2.8 that there exists $r_1 > 0$ such that for every $r' < r_1$ and every $Q = Q(z, r', \theta)$, we can find a square

$$Q\Big(E_\lambda(z), |E'_\lambda(z)|\, r' \frac{1}{D(E_\lambda|_Q)}(1 - \sqrt{2}\varepsilon)\Big)$$

which is contained in $E_\lambda(Q)$ and another square

$$Q\Big(E_\lambda(z), |E'_\lambda(z)|\, r' D(E_\lambda|_Q)(1 + \sqrt{2}\varepsilon)\Big)$$

that contains $E_\lambda(Q)$. By Lemma 2.6, we can choose r_2 so small that $D(E_\lambda|_Q) < 1+\varepsilon$ for every $Q = Q(z, r', \theta)$, $r' < r_2$. Hence

$$Q\Big(E_\lambda(z), |E_\lambda(z)|\, r' \frac{1}{1+\varepsilon}(1 - \sqrt{2}\varepsilon)\Big) \subset E_\lambda(Q) \subset Q\Big(E_\lambda(z), |E_\lambda(z)|\, r'(1+\varepsilon)(1+\sqrt{2}\varepsilon)\Big)$$

for all $r' < \min\{r_1, r_2\}$ and all $Q = Q(z, r', \theta)$. Now choose $\delta, \delta' > 0$ such that

$$\frac{1}{2} - \frac{\delta}{\pi} - \delta' > \frac{1}{2} - \varepsilon.$$

By Lemma 3.5, there exists $r_3 > 0$ with the following property:
If $r' < r_3$, we can find $c(r') > 0$ such that for every $Q = Q(z, cr', \theta)$ (where $c \geq c(r')$), the set $Q \cap \mathcal{J}_\delta$ has an r'-packing pack_Q with

$$\operatorname{dens}(\operatorname{pack}_Q, Q) > \frac{1}{2} - \varepsilon. \tag{3.4}$$

So choose $r < \min\{r_1, r_2, r_3\}$ and $c(r) > 0$ with the above property. Then we can find $x > 0$ such that

$$|E_\lambda(z)| > c(r)\frac{1+\varepsilon}{1-\sqrt{2}\varepsilon}$$

for every $z \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\Re z > x$. If we fix some square $Q = Q(z_0, r, \theta) \subset \{z \mid \Re z > x\}$, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} Q_1 := Q\Big(E_\lambda(z_0), |E_\lambda(z)|\, r\frac{1-\sqrt{2}\varepsilon}{1+\varepsilon}\Big) &\subset E_\lambda(Q) \\ &\subset Q\Big(E_\lambda(z_0), |E_\lambda(z)|\, r(1+\varepsilon)(1+\sqrt{2}\varepsilon)\Big) =: Q_2. \end{aligned}$$

Let an r-packing pack_{Q_1} of $Q_1 \cap J_\delta$ which satisfies (3.4) (with Q_1 instead of Q) be given. Then pack_{Q_1} is also an r-packing of $E_\lambda(Q) \cap J_\delta$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{dens}(\text{pack}_{Q_1}, E_\lambda(Q)) &= \frac{\left|\text{pack}_{Q_1} \cap E_\lambda(Q)\right|}{|E_\lambda(Q)|} \\
&\geq \frac{\left|\text{pack}_{Q_1} \cap Q_1\right|}{|Q_2|} \\
&= \frac{\left|\text{pack}_{Q_1} \cap Q_1\right|}{|Q_1|} \frac{|Q_1|}{|Q_2|} \\
&> \Big(\frac{1}{2} - \varepsilon\Big) \frac{(1-\sqrt{2}\varepsilon)^2}{(1+\sqrt{2}\varepsilon)^2(1+\varepsilon)^4}.
\end{aligned}$$

Because $\varepsilon > 0$ was arbitrary, we can choose ε so small that the last term is smaller than $\frac{1}{2} - \tilde{\varepsilon}$. □

If we want to estimate the distortion of inverse branches of the exponential map with the help of Lemma 2.7, we have to be sure that these inverse branches are defined on a large region. This is ensured by the following obvious lemma.

Lemma 3.7 *Let $\delta > 0$ and J_δ be defined as above. Let $K > 0$. Then there exists $x_0 > 0$ with the following property:*
If $z \in \mathbb{C}, n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ with $\Re z > x_0$ and $E_\lambda^k(z) \in J_\delta$ for all $0 \leq k \leq n$, then

$$\Re E_\lambda^n(z) - \Re E_\lambda^k(0) \geq K \text{ for all } 0 \leq k \leq n.$$

Finally, the following result will be used to prove the non-σ-finiteness. Its proof can for example be found in [14].

Lemma 3.8 *Let h_1 and h_2 be gauge functions with $\frac{h_2(t)}{h_1(t)} \to 0$ as $t \to 0$ and $A \subset \mathbb{C}$. If A has σ-finite $\mathcal{H}^{h_1}$-measure, then A has zero $\mathcal{H}^{h_2}$-measure.*

3.2. **A gauge function which leads to infinite measure.** After these preparations, we can prove the main result of this section.

Theorem 3.9 *Let $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ and $\gamma > 0$ such that $\beta^\gamma > 2$. Then $\mathcal{H}^{h_\gamma}(\mathcal{J}(E_\lambda)) = \infty$.*

Proof. First of all, let $\varepsilon > 0$ such that

$$\beta^\gamma \frac{1/2 - \varepsilon}{(1+\varepsilon)^2} > 1. \tag{3.5}$$

Further, let $K > 0$ be so large that

$$\Big(\frac{K+1}{K-3}\Big)^{12} < 1 + \varepsilon. \tag{3.6}$$

By Lemma 3.6, there exist $\delta > 0$, $x_0 > 0$ and $r > 0$ with the following three properties:

(a) $Kr/\sqrt{2} < \pi$
(b) If Q is an r-box with $Q \subset J_\delta \cap \{\Re z > x_0\}$, then there exists an r-packing $\text{pack}_{E_\lambda(Q)}$ of $E_\lambda(Q) \cap J_\delta$ such that

$$\text{dens}(\text{pack}_{E_\lambda(Q)}, E_\lambda(Q)) > \frac{1}{2} - \varepsilon.$$

(c) $r\sqrt{2}\lambda'/|\lambda| < 1$

For every Q as in (b), let $\mathcal{B}(E_\lambda(Q))$ denote the collection of the r-boxes that form $\text{pack}_{E_\lambda(Q)}$. Choose $x_1 \geq x_0$ such that

$$\Re E_\lambda^n(z) > E^n(2\beta) \tag{3.7}$$

for all $z \in J_\delta \cap \{\Re z > x_1\}$ and all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $E_\lambda^k(z) \in J_\delta$ whenever $0 \leq k \leq n$. By Lemma 3.7, we can choose $x_2 \geq x_1$ such that for all $z \in J_\delta \cap \{\Re z > x_2\}$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ with $E_\lambda^k(z) \in J_\delta$ for $0 \leq k \leq n$, we have

$$\Re E_\lambda^n(z) - \Re E_\lambda^k(0) \geq \frac{Kr}{\sqrt{2}} \text{ whenever } 0 \leq k \leq n. \tag{3.8}$$

We define $T := J_\delta \cap \{z \mid \Re z > x_2\}$ and choose an r-box $Q \subset T$. Now we define a family of nested sets as follows: Set $\mathcal{A}_0 := \{Q\}$ and

$$\mathcal{A}_k := \big\{G \mid G \subset F \text{ for some } F \in \mathcal{A}_{k-1} \text{ and } E_\lambda^k(G) \in \mathcal{B}(E_\lambda^k(F))\big\}$$

for $k \in \mathbb{N}$, i.e. $\mathcal{A}_1$ consists of all preimages (under E_λ) of the r-boxes that are contained in $\mathcal{B}(E_\lambda(Q))$, $\mathcal{A}_2$ consists of those subsets of elements $F \in \mathcal{A}_1$ which are mapped onto an r-box in $\mathcal{B}(E_\lambda^2(F))$ under E_λ^2 and so on. Then $\{\mathcal{A}_k\}$ is a family of nested sets. As in Definition 3.1, we define $A_k := \bigcup_{F\in\mathcal{A}_k} F$ and $A := \bigcap_{k\in\mathbb{N}} A_k$. Then $z \in A$ implies that

$$E_\lambda^n(z) \in J_\delta \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N}_0.$$

It follows from (3.7) that $\Re E_\lambda^n(z) \to \infty$ as $n \to \infty$, and consequently $z \in \mathcal{J}(E_\lambda)$.

We now want to estimate the densities and diameters of the sets in $\mathcal{A}_k$. If $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $F \in \mathcal{A}_{k-1}$, then $E_\lambda^{k-1}(F)$ is an r-box $Q = \overline{Q(z_Q, r, 0)}$. First, we estimate the distortion of $(E_\lambda^k)^{-1}|_{E_\lambda(Q)} : E_\lambda(Q) \to F$. Because of condition (a), E_λ is univalent on $D(z_Q, Kr/\sqrt{2})$. Further, the branch φ of $(E_\lambda^{k-1})^{-1}|_Q$ with $\varphi(z_Q) \in F$ can be continued univalently to $D(z_Q, Kr/\sqrt{2})$ because of (3.8). It follows from Lemma 2.7 and (3.6) that

$$D\big((E_\lambda^k)^{-1}|_{E_\lambda^k(F)}\big) < 1 + \varepsilon. \tag{3.9}$$

Thus we obtain by condition (b) and Lemma 2.5 (c) that

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{2} - \varepsilon &< \text{dens}\Big(\bigcup_{Q\in\mathcal{B}(E_\lambda^k(F))} Q, E_\lambda^k(F)\Big) \\
&\leq D((E_\lambda^k)^{-1}|_{E_\lambda^k(F)})^2 \text{dens}\Big((E_\lambda^k)^{-1}\big(\bigcup_{Q\in\mathcal{B}(E_\lambda^k(F))} Q\big), F\Big) \\
&\leq (1+\varepsilon)^2 \text{dens}\Big(\bigcup_{Q\in\mathcal{B}(E_\lambda^k(F))} (E_\lambda^k)^{-1}(Q), F\Big).
\end{aligned}$$

Since

$$A_k \cap F = \bigcup_{Q\in\mathcal{B}(E_\lambda^k(F))} (E_\lambda^k)^{-1}(Q) \cap F,$$

it follows that

$$\text{dens}(A_k, F) = \text{dens}\Big(\bigcup_{Q\in\mathcal{B}(E_\lambda^k(F))} (E_\lambda^k)^{-1}(Q), F\Big) \geq \frac{1/2 - \varepsilon}{(1+\varepsilon)^2} =: \Delta_k. \tag{3.10}$$

Now we turn to the diameters of the sets in $\mathcal{A}_k$. Let $F \in \mathcal{A}_k$ and $u, v \in F$. Because $E_\lambda^k(F)$ is an r-box and hence convex, it follows from the mean value inequality, (3.7) and condition (c) that

$$|u - v| < \frac{r\sqrt{2}}{|\lambda|} \frac{1}{e^{E^{k-1}(2\beta)}} = \frac{r\sqrt{2}\lambda'}{|\lambda|} \frac{1}{E^k(2\beta)} < \frac{1}{E^k(2\beta)} =: d_k. \tag{3.11}$$

So $\{\mathcal{A}_k\}$ satisfies the nesting conditions. To finish the proof, we have to check that $t \mapsto (\Phi(1/t))^\gamma$ satisfies the condition (3.2) in Lemma 3.3. But this is now straightforward and follows mainly from the functional equation (1.1), combined with (3.10) and (3.11). In fact,

$$\begin{aligned}
\Phi\Big(\frac{1}{d_k}\Big)^\gamma \prod_{j=1}^{k} \Delta_j &= \Phi\Big(\frac{1}{d_k}\Big)^\gamma \Big(\frac{1/2 - \varepsilon}{(1+\varepsilon)^2}\Big)^k \\
&= \Phi(E^k(2\beta))^\gamma \Big(\frac{1/2 - \varepsilon}{(1+\varepsilon)^2}\Big)^k \\
&= \Big(\beta^k \Phi(2\beta)\Big)^\gamma \Big(\frac{1/2 - \varepsilon}{(1+\varepsilon)^2}\Big)^k \\
&= \Big(\beta^\gamma \Big(\frac{1/2 - \varepsilon}{(1+\varepsilon)^2}\Big)\Big)^k \Phi(2\beta)^\gamma
\end{aligned}$$

which tends to infinity as $k \to \infty$ by (3.5). Thus Lemma 3.3 shows that $\mathcal{J}(E_\lambda)$ has infinite $\mathcal{H}^{h_\gamma}$-measure. □

It follows immediately from Lemma 3.8 that $\mathcal{H}^{h_\gamma}$ is not even σ-finite on $\mathcal{J}(E_\lambda)$. Note that the set A constructed in the proof above belongs to $I(E_\lambda)$, so the statement of the theorem remains true if we replace $\mathcal{J}(E_\lambda)$ by $I(E_\lambda)$.

4. The estimate from above

Recall that $E = E_{\lambda'}$, $\beta = \beta_{\lambda'}$, $\Phi = \Phi_{\lambda'}$ and $h_\gamma = h_{\lambda',\gamma}$, where $\lambda' \in (0, 1/e)$ is fixed. In this section, we prove conversely that if $\gamma > 0$ is chosen such that $\beta^\gamma < 2$, then $\mathcal{J}(E)$ has zero measure with respect to h_γ. Note that, in contrast to section 3, the parameter of the exponential map whose Julia set we measure and the parameter of the gauge function we use for measuring have to be the same. We will get rid of this restriction in section 5.

4.1. Preparations.

In [18], Urbański and Zdunik proved that the set of non-escaping points in the Julia set of a hyperbolic exponential map is small:

Theorem 4.1 *Let $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ such that E_λ is hyperbolic. Then*

$$\mathrm{HD}\big(\mathcal{J}(E_\lambda) \setminus I(E_\lambda)\big) < 2.$$

In particular, this theorem implies that $\mathcal{J}(E) \setminus I(E)$ has zero $\mathcal{H}^{h_\gamma}$-measure for every $\gamma > 0$, which means that we can restrict ourselves to $I(E)$ if we want to prove that $\mathcal{J}(E)$ has zero $\mathcal{H}^{h_\gamma}$-measure.
We show that it is in fact sufficient to consider a suitable subset of $I(E)$. For this, we need the following definition:

Definition 4.2 For $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$, we define

$$I_R(E_\lambda) := \{z \in I(E_\lambda) \mid \Re E_\lambda^n(z) \geq R \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N}_0\}.$$

Note that

$$I(E_\lambda) = \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} E_\lambda^{-n}(I_R(E_\lambda)) \tag{4.1}$$

since $I(E_\lambda)$ is completely invariant.

Lemma 4.3 *Let $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ and $\gamma, R > 0$. Then $\mathcal{H}^{h_\gamma}(I_R(E_\lambda)) = 0$ implies that $\mathcal{H}^{h_\gamma}(I(E_\lambda)) = 0$.*

Proof. First note that for every $K > 0$, there exists $K' > 0$ such that $h_\gamma(Kt) \leq K' h_\gamma(t)$. Hence zero $\mathcal{H}^{h_\gamma}$-measure is preserved by bilipschitz mappings. The statement now follows easily from (4.1) since E_λ is bilipschitz on small discs. □

4.2. **A gauge function which leads to zero measure.** The main theorem of this section is the following:

Theorem 4.4 *Let $\gamma > 0$ such that $\beta^\gamma < 2$. Then $\mathcal{H}^{h_\gamma}(\mathcal{J}(E)) = 0$.*

The proof of this theorem is rather technical, but the idea could not be simpler: For large R, we cover the set $I_R(E)$ by small squares of a definite side length. Chosen one of these squares Q, we show that every square Q_0 inside which is small enough has zero $\mathcal{H}^{h_\gamma}$-measure. We do this by dividing Q_0 into smaller squares and showing that a certain percentage of these squares does not intersect the Julia set of E. By repeating this method, we construct a sequence of coverings of $I_R(E)$ by sets whose diameters tend to 0, and an application of the functional equation yields the desired result. Observe that the idea of the proof originates from the well known (and much easier) result that a porous set has Hausdorff dimension less than 2.

Before we start proving the theorem, we have to introduce a notation. In the last section, we were mostly interested in strips that may contain points in the Julia set (the strips that formed J_δ). In this section, we are interested in strips which are completely contained in the Fatou set: For $\delta > 0$, we define

$$F_\delta := \left\{ z \in \mathbb{C} \mid \Im z \in \left[\frac{(4k+1)\pi}{2} + \delta, \frac{(4k+3)\pi}{2} - \delta \right] \bmod 2\pi \right\}.$$

Clearly, $F_\delta \subset \mathcal{F}(E)$ if δ is small. Further it is immediate that Lemma 3.6 remains valid if we replace J_δ by F_δ.

Proof of Theorem 4.4. By Theorem 4.1 and Lemma 4.3, it suffices to show that $\mathcal{H}^{h_\gamma}(I_R(E)) = 0$ for some $R > 0$. Let $\varepsilon' > 0$ such that $(1/2 + \varepsilon')\beta^\gamma < 1$. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ and $M \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$(1-\varepsilon)\frac{(1-2\varepsilon)^4}{(1+2\varepsilon)^{18}}\left(\frac{1-\sqrt{2}\varepsilon}{1+\sqrt{2}\varepsilon}\right)^4\left(\frac{M-1}{M}\right)^2\left(\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon\right) \geq \frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon',$$

$$(1-\varepsilon)\left(\frac{1-\sqrt{2}\varepsilon}{1+\sqrt{2}\varepsilon}\right)^4\frac{(1-2\varepsilon)^4}{(1+2\varepsilon)^{16}}\left(\frac{1}{2}-2\varepsilon\right) \geq \frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon'$$

and

$$\frac{1}{1+a} \geq \frac{M-1}{M}, \tag{4.2}$$

where we define a by

$$1+a := \frac{(1+\sqrt{2}\varepsilon)(1+2\varepsilon)^4}{(1-\sqrt{2}\varepsilon)(1-2\varepsilon)^2}. \tag{4.3}$$

Now let $K > 3$ with

$$\Big(\frac{K+1}{K-3}\Big)^6 < 1+2\varepsilon \tag{4.4}$$

and such that K satisfies the property of Lemma 2.8: If $r' > 0, z \in \mathbb{C}, \theta \in \mathbb{R}$ and $f : D(z, Kr'/\sqrt{2}) \to \mathbb{C}$ is univalent, then $f(Q(z, r', \theta))$ is contained in some square $Q(f(z), |f'(z)|\, r'(1+\sqrt{2}\varepsilon)d)$ and contains some square $Q(f(z), |f'(z)|\, r'(1-\sqrt{2}\varepsilon)/d)$, where $d = D(f|_{Q(z,r',\theta)})$.
Let $r_0 > 0$ with

$$KM\Big(\frac{1+2\varepsilon}{1-2\varepsilon}\Big)^2 \frac{r_0}{\sqrt{2}} < \pi \tag{4.5}$$

and such that r_0 satisfies the property of Lemma 3.5:
We can find a constant $A > (\pi+1)\sqrt{\pi}/r_0\sqrt{\varepsilon}$ such that if $Q = Q(z, Ar_0, \theta)$, then there exists an r_0-packing pack_Q of $Q \cap F_\delta$ with

$$\text{dens}(\text{pack}_Q, Q) > \frac{1}{2} - \varepsilon. \tag{4.6}$$

Because of Lemma 3.6, we can also assume that r_0 is so small that we can find $R_1 > 0$ and $\delta > 0$ with the following property: If $Q := Q(z_0, r_0, \theta) \subset \{\Re z > R_1\}$, then $E(Q) \cap F_\delta$ has an r_0-packing $\text{pack}_{E(Q)}$ which satisfies

$$\text{dens}\big(\text{pack}_{E(Q)}, E(Q)\big) > \frac{1}{2} - \varepsilon. \tag{4.7}$$

Further it is clear that there exists a universal constant C' with the following property: If we partition $\mathbb{C}$ into a grid of squares with side lengths r_- and take any square $Q(z, r_+, \theta)$ with $r_+ > r_-$, then at most $C'r_+/r_-$ squares of the r_--grid intersect the boundary of $Q(z, r_+, \theta)$. Now let

$$B := 90(A+1)r_0$$

and choose $C > 0$ such that

$$C > Mr_0\sqrt{2} + B$$

and $N := (C - Mr_0\sqrt{2})r_0\frac{1-\sqrt{2}\varepsilon}{1+2\varepsilon}$ satisfies

$$1 - \frac{C'}{N} > 1 - \varepsilon.$$

In particular, we have $C > 4KMr_0$. Let

$$L := C - Mr_0\sqrt{2} > B$$

and

$$\kappa := \frac{1}{LB}.$$

Finally, let $R \geq R_1 + (A+1)r_0\sqrt{2}$ such that

$$\lambda' e^{R-(A+1)r_0\sqrt{2}} - \alpha \geq \operatorname{dist}(\{\Re z \geq R\}, P(E)) \geq C + \sqrt{2}Mr_0, \tag{4.8}$$

where α denotes the real attracting fixed point of E. After these preparations, choose some $z_0' \in \mathbb{C}$ such that

$$Q_0 := Q(z_0', r_0, 0) \cap I_R(E) \neq \emptyset,$$

and some $x = x(Q_0) \in \mathbb{R}$ with the following five properties:

(a) $x \geq L\,(E^2)'(\max\{\Re z \mid z \in Q_0\})$
(b) $-\frac{\log \kappa}{\log x} \leq \sqrt{\kappa} - \kappa$
(c) $E^n(2x) \geq (E^n)'(x)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$
(d) $1/E(x) < r_0$
(e) $(E^{n+1})'(x) + \sqrt{2}(E^n)'(x) < \sqrt{2}(E^{n+1})'(x)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$

Note that x depends on Q_0 only by property (a). From the first condition, it follows immediately that

$$(E^m)'(x) \geq L\left|(E^{m+2})'(z)\right| \text{ for all } m \in \mathbb{N}_0 \text{ and } z \in Q_0. \tag{4.9}$$

We define inductively a sequence of side lengths r_n as follows. Let

$$r_1 := \frac{1}{E(x)}$$

and for $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let r_{n+1} be such that

$$\frac{r_n}{r_{n+1}} \in \mathbb{N} \text{ and } r_n(E^{n+1})'(x) \in \left(\frac{r_n}{r_{n+1}} - 1, \frac{r_n}{r_{n+1}}\right].$$

So we can partition every square of side length r_n into r_n^2/r_{n+1}^2 squares of side length r_{n+1}. Using property (e) of x, it follows easily by induction that

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}(E^n)'(x)} < r_n \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Consequently,

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}(E^n)'(x)} < r_n \leq \frac{1}{(E^n)'(x)} \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N}. \tag{4.10}$$

Choose $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and a square $Q = Q(z_0, r_n, 0) \subset Q_0$ such that $Q \cap I_R(E) \neq \emptyset$.

Claim: If we partition Q into squares of side length r_{n+1}, then at least

$$\left(\frac{r_n}{r_{n+1}}\right)^2 \left(\frac{1}{2} - \varepsilon'\right)$$

of these squares are contained in $\mathcal{F}(E)$.

We start the proof of this claim by showing that there exists $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $E^k(Q)$ is 'close to a square' of side length bigger than Mr_0.

Because of (4.8), we have $\operatorname{dist}(Q, P(E)) \geq C$. By the blow-up property of $\mathcal{J}(E)$ (Lemma 2.1), there exists a minimal $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $E^{k-1}(Q)$ is contained in some square $Q(E^{k-1}(z_0), Mr_0)$, but $E^k(Q)$ is not. We now show that $E^{k-1} : Q \to \mathbb{C}$ has a univalent continuation to $D(z_0, Kr_n/\sqrt{2})$. Let φ be the branch of $(E^{k-1})^{-1}$ which is defined on a neighborhood of $E^{k-1}(z_0)$ and satisfies $\varphi(E^{k-1}(z_0)) = z_0$. By the monodromy theorem and since

$$\operatorname{dist}(E^{k-1}(z_0), P(E)) \geq \operatorname{dist}(\{\Re z \geq R\}, P(E)) - \sqrt{2}Mr_0 \geq C > 4KMr_0,$$

we conclude from (4.8) that φ has an analytic continuation to $D(E^{k-1}(z_0), 4KMr_0)$. Because $E^{k-1}(Q)$ is contained in a disc of radius $Mr_0/\sqrt{2}$, it follows from Lemma 2.2 that

$$\left|(E^{k-1})'(z_0)\right| \leq \frac{Mr_0/\sqrt{2}}{r_n/2} = M\sqrt{2}\frac{r_0}{r_n},$$

and consequently

$$\left|\varphi'(E^{k-1}(z_0))\right| \geq \frac{1}{Mr_0}\frac{r_n}{\sqrt{2}}.$$

By the Koebe 1/4-Theorem, we obtain

$$\varphi(D(E^{k-1}(z_0), 4KMr_0)) \supset D(z_0, \left|\varphi'(E^{k-1}(z_0))\right| KMr_0) \supset D(z_0, Kr_n/\sqrt{2}),$$

and therefore E^{k-1} can be continued univalently to $D(z_0, Kr_n/\sqrt{2})$. By Lemma 2.6 and (4.4), the distortion of E^{k-1} satisfies

$$D(E^{k-1}|_Q) \leq \left(\frac{K+1}{K-3}\right)^6 < 1 + 2\varepsilon. \tag{4.11}$$

It follows from Lemma 2.8 that $E^{k-1}(Q)$ contains some square

$$\begin{aligned} Q_1 &:= Q(E^{k-1}(z_0), \left|(E^{k-1})'(z_0)\right| r_n(1-\sqrt{2}\varepsilon)/(1+2\varepsilon)) \\ &\supset Q(E^{k-1}(z_0), \left|(E^{k-1})'(z_0)\right| r_n(1-2\varepsilon)^2) \end{aligned}$$

and is contained in some square

$$\begin{aligned} Q_2 &:= Q(E^{k-1}(z_0), \left|(E^{k-1})'(z_0)\right| r_n(1+\sqrt{2}\varepsilon)(1+2\varepsilon)) \\ &\subset Q(E^{k-1}(z_0), \left|(E^{k-1})'(z_0)\right| r_n(1+2\varepsilon)^2). \end{aligned}$$

Because the side length l_1 of Q_1 is at most Mr_0, the side length l_2 of Q_2 satisfies

$$l_2 < \left(\frac{1+2\varepsilon}{1-2\varepsilon}\right)^2 Mr_0.$$

Since

$$\left(\frac{1+2\varepsilon}{1-2\varepsilon}\right)^2 KMr_0\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} < \pi$$

by (4.5), E is univalent on

$$D\Big(E^{k-1}(z_0), \left(\frac{1+2\varepsilon}{1-2\varepsilon}\right)^2 KMr_0/\sqrt{2}\Big) \supset D\Big(E^{k-1}(z_0), \frac{l_2K}{\sqrt{2}}\Big).$$

The same arguments as before yield

$$D(E|_{Q_2}) < 1 + 2\varepsilon, \tag{4.12}$$

and hence $E(Q_1)$ contains a square of side length $\left|E'(E^{k-1}(z_0))\right| l_1(1-\sqrt{2}\varepsilon)/(1+2\varepsilon)$ and $E(Q_2)$ is contained in a square of side length $\left|E'(E^{k-1}(z_0))\right| l_2(1-\sqrt{2}\varepsilon)(1+2\varepsilon)$. Thus, summarizing the previous estimates, $E(Q_1)$ contains some square

$$Q_1' := Q\Big(E^k(z_0), \left|(E^k)'(z_0)\right| r_n\frac{(1-\sqrt{2}\varepsilon)(1-2\varepsilon)^2}{1+2\varepsilon}\Big)$$

and $E(Q_2)$ is contained in some square

$$Q_2' := Q\Big(E^k(z_0), \big|(E^k)'(z_0)\big|\, r_n(1+\sqrt{2}\varepsilon)(1+2\varepsilon)^3\Big).$$

In particular, $Q_1' \subset E^k(Q) \subset Q_2'$. Since $E^k(Q)$ is not contained in a square of side length Mr_0, it follows that the side length l_2' of Q_2' satisfies

$$l_2' > Mr_0.$$

By the definition of a (see (4.3)) and (4.2), we conclude that

$$l_1' = \frac{l_2'}{1+a} > \frac{1}{1+a} Mr_0 \geq (M-1)r_0.$$

If ε is chosen small enough, we can assume that the side length l_1' of Q_1' is also at least Mr_0. There are two cases:

Case 1: $l_1' \leq Ar_0$.
Then (if A is chosen large enough) it follows that $l_2' \leq (A+1)r_0$.

We now show that at least almost half of Q belongs to the Fatou set. Choose $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $mr_0 \leq r_1'$, but $(m+1)r_0 > r_1'$. Then $m \geq M-1$ and we can find $m^2 r_0^2$ squares of side length r_0 which have pairwise disjoint interiors and which are completely contained in Q_1'. Let $P = Q(p, r_0)$ be one of these squares. Because $\Re z \geq R - (A+1)r_0\sqrt{2} \geq R_1$ for all $z \in P$ and by the way R_1 and r_0 are defined, there exists an r_0-packing $\text{pack}_{E(P)}$ of $E(P) \cap F_\delta$ such that

$$\text{dens}(\text{pack}_{E(P)}, E(P)) > \frac{1}{2} - \varepsilon.$$

Since E is clearly injective on $D(p, KMr_0/\sqrt{2})$ (because $KMr_0/\sqrt{2} < \pi$), the distortion satisfies

$$D(E|_P) < 1 + 2\varepsilon.$$

If φ_P denotes the branch of E^{-1} such that $\varphi_P(\text{pack}_{E(P)}) \subset P$, we obtain by Lemma 2.5 (d) that

$$\text{dens}\big(\varphi_P(\text{pack}_{E(P)}), P\big) \geq \frac{1}{(1+2\varepsilon)^2}\Big(\frac{1}{2} - \varepsilon\Big).$$

If we take the union over all P, it follows that

$$\text{dens}\Big(\bigcup_P \varphi_P(\text{pack}_{E(P)}), Q_1'\Big) \geq \frac{1}{(1+2\varepsilon)^2}\Big(\frac{1}{2} - \varepsilon\Big)\Big(\frac{m}{m+1}\Big)^2$$

and consequently

$$\begin{aligned}\text{dens}\Big(\bigcup_P \varphi_P(\text{pack}_{E(P)}), E^k(Q)\Big) &\geq \frac{1}{(1+2\varepsilon)^2}\Big(\frac{1}{2} - \varepsilon\Big)\Big(\frac{m}{m+1}\Big)^2 \frac{|Q_1'|}{|Q_2'|} \\ &= \Big(\frac{m}{m+1}\Big)^2\Big(\frac{1}{2} - \varepsilon\Big)\frac{(1-2\varepsilon)^4(1-\sqrt{2}\varepsilon)^2}{(1+2\varepsilon)^{10}(1+\sqrt{2}\varepsilon)^2}.\end{aligned}$$

Taking preimages, it follows from (4.11) and (4.12) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\operatorname{dens}\Big((E^k)^{-1}\Big(\bigcup_P \varphi_P(\operatorname{pack}_{E(P)})\Big)\cap Q, Q\Big)\\
&\geq \frac{1}{D(E^k|_Q)^2}\Big(\frac{m}{m+1}\Big)^2\Big(\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon\Big)\frac{(1-2\varepsilon)^4(1-\sqrt{2}\varepsilon)^2}{(1+2\varepsilon)^{10}(1+\sqrt{2}\varepsilon)^2}\\
&\geq \frac{1}{D(E^{k-1}|_Q)^2}\frac{1}{D(E|_{Q_2})^2}\Big(\frac{m}{m+1}\Big)^2\Big(\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon\Big)\frac{(1-2\varepsilon)^4(1-\sqrt{2}\varepsilon)^2}{(1+2\varepsilon)^{10}(1+\sqrt{2}\varepsilon)^2}\\
&\geq \Big(\frac{M-1}{M}\Big)^2\Big(\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon\Big)\frac{(1-2\varepsilon)^4(1-\sqrt{2}\varepsilon)^2}{(1+2\varepsilon)^{14}(1+\sqrt{2}\varepsilon)^2} \qquad (4.13)
\end{aligned}
$$

We show now that these preimages (which all belong to the Fatou set of E) are 'large' compared to a square of side length r_{n+1}.

Let P be a square in Q_1', and let $z \in P$ be arbitrary. Then $\Re z \geq R-(A+1)\sqrt{2}r_0$, and consequently

$$|E(z)| \geq \lambda' e^{R-(A+1)\sqrt{2}r_0},$$

which implies that

$$\operatorname{dist}(E(z), P(E)) \geq |E(z)| - \alpha > C$$

by the definition of R. Hence the branch ψ of $(E^{k+1})^{-1}$ with $\psi(E(z)) \in Q$ can be continued univalently to $D(E(z), Kr_0/\sqrt{2})$. We denote (as in section 3) the collection of boxes that form $\operatorname{pack}_{E(P)}$ by $\mathcal{B}(E(P))$. So if $P' = Q(z_{P'}, r_0, 0) \in \mathcal{B}(E(P))$ and $\psi_{P'}$ denotes the branch of $(E^{k+1})^{-1}$ with $\psi_{P'}(P') \subset Q$, then $D(\psi_{P'}|_{P'}) < 1+2\varepsilon$ and $\psi_{P'}(P')$ is contained in a square $Q'_{P'}$ of side length

$$\rho'_{P'} := |\psi'_{P'}(z_{P'})|\, r_0(1+2\varepsilon)(1+\sqrt{2}\varepsilon) \qquad (4.14)$$

and contains a square $Q_{P'}$ of side length

$$\rho_{P'} := |\psi'_{P'}(z_{P'})|\, r_0\frac{1}{1+2\varepsilon}(1-\sqrt{2}\varepsilon). \qquad (4.15)$$

Let

$$w_{P'} := \psi_{P'}(z_{P'}) \in Q. \qquad (4.16)$$

We now show that

$$(E^{n+1})'(x) \geq L\left|(E^{k+1})'(w_{P'})\right|, \qquad (4.17)$$

which means that r_{n+1} is much smaller than the size of the preimages of $\operatorname{pack}_{E(P)}$ in Q. If $k \leq n+2$, then (4.17) is clear by (4.9), so we may assume that $k-n \geq 3$. Since $C > 90(A+1)r_0$, we obtain

$$\operatorname{dist}(E^k(Q), P(E)) \geq \operatorname{dist}(Q_2', P(E)) > 6(A+1)r_0.$$

Hence the branch φ_0 of $(E^k)^{-1}$ with $\varphi_0(E^k(z_0)) = z_0$ can be continued analytically to $D(E^k(z_0), 6(A+1)r_0)$. Because

$$\left|(E^k)'(z_0)\right| \leq \sqrt{2}(A+1)\frac{r_0}{r_n} \qquad (4.18)$$

by the same arguments as above, it follows again by the Koebe 1/4-Theorem that

$$\varphi_0(D(E^k(z_0), 6(A+1)r_0)) \supset D\Big(z_0, \frac{3}{2}\frac{r_n}{\sqrt{2}}\Big).$$

Thus E^k is univalent on $D(z_0, \frac{3r_n}{2\sqrt{2}})$. Corollary 2.3 implies that

$$\left|(E^k)'(w_{P'})\right| \le \frac{3r_n/2\sqrt{2}+r_n/\sqrt{2}}{(3r_n/2\sqrt{2}-r_n/\sqrt{2})^3}(3r_n/2\sqrt{2})^2\left|(E^k)'(z_0)\right|. \tag{4.19}$$

Combining (4.10), (4.18) and (4.19), we obtain

$$\left|E^k(w_{P'})\right| \le \frac{5/2(3/2)^2}{(1/2)^3}\frac{\sqrt{2}(A+1)r_0}{r_n} = \frac{B}{\sqrt{2}r_n} \le B\,(E^n)'(x). \tag{4.20}$$

For the proof of (4.17), it remains to show that

$$\kappa E^{n+1}(x) \ge \left|E^{k+1}(w_{P'})\right|. \tag{4.21}$$

To see this, we first show that

$$x^{\kappa^{1/2^j}-1} \le \kappa^{1/2^{j-1}} \text{ for all } j \in \mathbb{N}_0, \tag{4.22}$$

which is equivalent to

$$x \ge \frac{x^{(\kappa^{1/2^j})}}{\kappa^{1/2^{j-1}}} \text{ for all } j \in \mathbb{N}_0.$$

Because of the obvious inequality

$$2^j(\kappa^{1/2^{j+1}} - \kappa^{1/2^j}) \ge \sqrt{\kappa} - \kappa \text{ for all } j \in \mathbb{N}_0$$

and condition (b) on x, the right side is an increasing sequence tending to x as $j \to \infty$, and (4.22) is proven. The inequality (4.22) easily implies

$$E^i(x)^{\kappa^{1/2^j}-1} \le \kappa^{1/2^{j-1}} \text{ for all } i, j \in \mathbb{N}_0. \tag{4.23}$$

Now suppose that

$$\kappa E^{n+1}(x) < \left|E^{k+1}(w_{P'})\right|. \tag{4.24}$$

We show that this implies

$$\kappa^{1/2^j} E^{n+1-j}(x) < \left|E^{k+1-j}(w_{P'})\right| \text{ for all } 1 \le j \le n.$$

This can be done inductively. For $j=1$, suppose that $\left|E^k(w_{P'})\right| \le \kappa^{1/2}E^n(x)$. Then

$$\left|E^{k+1}(w_{P'})\right| = \lambda' e^{\Re E^k(w_{P'})} \le \lambda' e^{\kappa^{1/2}E^n(x)} \le (\lambda' e^{E^n(x)})^{\kappa^{1/2}} = E^{n+1}(x)^{\kappa^{1/2}} \le \kappa E^{n+1}(x),$$

where the second inequality holds because $\lambda' < 1$, and the last inequality follows from (4.23). The step $j \to j+1$ can be done in exactly the same way. So (4.24) implies

$$\kappa^{1/2+\ldots+1/2^n}\prod_{j=1}^{n} E^j(x) < \prod_{j=k-n+1}^{k}\left|E^j(w_{P'})\right|,$$

which is the same as

$$\kappa^{1-1/2^n}(E^n)'(x) < \prod_{j=k-n+1}^{k}\left|E^j(w_{P'})\right|. \tag{4.25}$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned}\prod_{j=k-n+1}^{k}\left|E^j(w_{P'})\right| &= \frac{1}{\prod_{j=1}^{k-n}|E^j(w_{P'})|}\left|(E^k)'(w_{P'})\right| \\ &\leq \frac{B}{\prod_{j=1}^{k-n}|E^j(w_{P'})|}(E^n)'(x) \qquad (4.26)\end{aligned}$$

by (4.20), and

$$\left|E^j(w_{P'})\right| > R - Mr_0\sqrt{2} > C - Mr_0\sqrt{2} = L \text{ for } j \leq k-n \qquad (4.27)$$

since $I_R(E) \cap Q \neq \emptyset$ and $E^j(Q)$ is contained in a square of side length Mr_0 for $j \leq k-1$. Combining (4.25), (4.26) and (4.27) yields

$$\kappa^{1-1/2^n}(E^n)'(x) < \frac{B}{L^{k-n}}(E^n)'(x),$$

and hence

$$\frac{L^{k-n}\kappa^{1-1/2^n}}{B} < 1.$$

We now can show easily that this is impossible: It suffices to prove that

$$\frac{L^{k-n}\kappa}{B} = \frac{L^{k-n-1}}{B^2} \geq 1.$$

But this is clear since $k-n-1 \geq 2$ and $L > B$ by our assumption. So we have finally proved (4.17). Consequently, the side length $\rho_{P'}$ of the square $Q_{P'}$ satisfies

$$\rho_{P'} \geq L\frac{1}{(E^{n+1})'(x)}r_0\frac{1}{1+2\varepsilon}(1-\sqrt{2}\varepsilon) = N\frac{1}{(E^{n+1})'(x)} \geq Nr_{n+1}.$$

Now we are ready to prove the claim in this case. At least $\left(\frac{\rho_{P'}}{r_{n+1}}\right)^2$ squares in the r_{n+1}-grid intersect $Q_{P'}$, whereas only $C'\frac{\rho_{P'}}{r_{n+1}}$ squares of the grid intersect $\partial Q_{P'}$, which implies that

$$\left(\frac{\rho_{P'}}{r_{n+1}}\right)^2 - C'\frac{\rho_{P'}}{r_{n+1}} = \left(\frac{\rho_{P'}}{r_{n+1}}\right)^2\left(1-C'\frac{r_{n+1}}{\rho_{P'}}\right) \geq \left(\frac{\rho_{P'}}{r_{n+1}}\right)^2\left(1-C'\frac{1}{N}\right) > \left(\frac{\rho_{P'}}{r_{n+1}}\right)^2(1-\varepsilon)$$

squares are contained in $Q_{P'}$. Because all the previous estimates were independent of P' and P, we can do the same for every P and every $P' \in \mathcal{B}(E(P))$ and obtain that at least

$$(1-\varepsilon)\sum_P \sum_{P'\in\mathcal{B}(E(P))}\left(\frac{\rho_{P'}}{r_{n+1}}\right)^2$$

squares of the r_{n+1}-grid are contained in $\mathcal{F}(E)$. It follows now from (4.13) that

$$\begin{aligned}
&(1-\varepsilon)\sum_{P}\sum_{P'\in\mathcal{B}(E(P))}\Big(\frac{\rho_{P'}}{r_{n+1}}\Big)^2\\
&= \frac{1}{r_{n+1}^2}(1-\varepsilon)\frac{1}{(1+2\varepsilon)^4}\Big(\frac{1-\sqrt{2}\varepsilon}{1+\sqrt{2}\varepsilon}\Big)^2\sum_{P}\sum_{P'\in\mathcal{B}(E(P))}(\rho'_{P'})^2\\
&\geq \frac{1}{r_{n+1}^2}(1-\varepsilon)\frac{1}{(1+2\varepsilon)^4}\Big(\frac{1-\sqrt{2}\varepsilon}{1+\sqrt{2}\varepsilon}\Big)^2\sum_{P}\sum_{P'\in\mathcal{B}(E(P))}|\psi_{P'}(P')|^2\\
&= \Big(\frac{r_n}{r_{n+1}}\Big)^2(1-\varepsilon)\frac{(1-2\varepsilon)^4}{(1+2\varepsilon)^{18}}\Big(\frac{1-\sqrt{2}\varepsilon}{1+\sqrt{2}\varepsilon}\Big)^4\Big(\frac{M-1}{M}\Big)^2\Big(\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon\Big)\\
&\geq \Big(\frac{r_n}{r_{n+1}}\Big)^2\Big(\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon'\Big),
\end{aligned}$$

so at least

$$\Big(\frac{r_n}{r_{n+1}}\Big)^2\Big(\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon'\Big)$$

squares from the r_{n+1}-grid belong to $\mathcal{F}(E)$.

Case 2: $l'_1 > Ar_0$. In this case, we can find an r_0-packing $\mathrm{pack}_{Q'_1}$ of $Q'_1\cap F_\delta$ such that

$$\mathrm{dens}(\mathrm{pack}_{Q'_1}, Q'_1) > \frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon.$$

Because we have to be sure that $(E^k)^{-1}$ is univalent on a domain which is large enough, we consider only the squares of $\mathrm{pack}_{Q'_1}$ which are contained in $Q'_1\setminus Y$, where $Y := \{z\in\mathbb{C}\mid \mathrm{dist}(z,P(E))<\pi\}\subset D(0,\pi+1)$. By the definition of A, we have

$$\mathrm{dens}(Y, Q'_1) < \varepsilon,$$

hence if $\mathrm{pack}_{Q'_1\setminus Y}$ denotes only the elements of $\mathrm{pack}_{Q'_1}$ which are contained in $Q'_1\setminus Y$, we easily obtain

$$\mathrm{dens}(\mathrm{pack}_{Q'_1\setminus Y}, Q'_1)\geq \mathrm{dens}(\mathrm{pack}_{Q'_1}, Q'_1)-\mathrm{dens}(Y,Q'_1) > \frac{1}{2}-2\varepsilon.$$

Because $D(E^k|_Q) < (1+2\varepsilon)^2$, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{dens}((E^k)^{-1}(\mathrm{pack}_{Q'_1\setminus Y})\cap Q, Q) &\geq \frac{1}{(1+2\varepsilon)^4}\mathrm{dens}(\mathrm{pack}_{Q'_1\setminus Y}, E^k(Q))\\
&= \frac{1}{(1+2\varepsilon)^4}\mathrm{dens}(\mathrm{pack}_{Q'_1\setminus Y}, Q'_1)\mathrm{dens}(Q'_1, E^k(Q))\\
&\geq \frac{1}{(1+2\varepsilon)^4}\Big(\frac{1}{2}-2\varepsilon\Big)\mathrm{dens}(Q'_1, Q'_2)\\
&= \frac{(1-2\varepsilon)^4}{(1+2\varepsilon)^{12}}\frac{(1-\sqrt{2}\varepsilon)^2}{(1+\sqrt{2}\varepsilon)^2}\Big(\frac{1}{2}-2\varepsilon\Big). \qquad (4.28)
\end{aligned}$$

Again, denote by $\mathcal{B}(Q'_1\setminus Y)$ the collection of r_0-boxes that form $\mathrm{pack}_{Q'_1\setminus Y}$ and let $P' = Q(z_{P'}, r_0, 0)\in\mathcal{B}(Q'_1\setminus Y)$. By the definition of Y, it follows that the branch $\psi_{P'}$ of $(E^k)^{-1}$ that maps P' into Q can be continued univalently to $D(z_R, KMr_0/\sqrt{2})$. Hence we have as before that $\psi(P')$ is contained in a square of side length $\rho'_{P'}$ and contains a square $Q_{P'}$ of side length $\rho_{P'}$, where $\rho'_{P'}$ and $\rho_{P'}$ are defined as in (4.14) and (4.15). Let $w_{P'} := \psi_{P'}(z_{P'}) \in Q$ be as in (4.16). We now show that

$$(E^{n+1})'(x) \geq L \left|(E^k)'(w_{P'})\right| . \tag{4.29}$$

First note that because E^{k-1} is injective on $D(z_0, Kr_n/\sqrt{2})$, we conclude as in case 1 (using Corollary 2.3 again) that

$$\left|(E^{k-1})'(w_{P'})\right| \leq B\,(E^n)'(x),$$

and by the same arguments as before, (4.29) follows. Again we see (analogously to case 1) that $Q_{P'}$ contains at least $(1-\varepsilon)\big(\frac{\rho_{P'}}{r_{n+1}}\big)^2$ squares in the r_{n+1}-grid. Since all of our estimates were independent of P', we obtain that at least

$$(1-\varepsilon) \sum_{P' \in \mathcal{B}(Q'_1 \setminus Y)} \Big(\frac{\rho_{P'}}{r_{n+1}}\Big)^2$$

squares in the r_{n+1}-grid are contained in $\mathcal{F}(E)$, and thus we have with (4.28) that

$$\begin{aligned}
(1-\varepsilon) \sum_{P' \in \mathcal{B}(Q'_1 \setminus Y)} \Big(\frac{\rho_{P'}}{r_{n+1}}\Big)^2 &= \frac{1}{r_{n+1}^2}(1-\varepsilon) \sum_{P' \in \mathcal{B}(Q'_1 \setminus Y)} (\rho_{P'})^2 \\
&= \frac{1}{r_{n+1}^2}(1-\varepsilon) \sum_{P' \in \mathcal{B}(Q'_1 \setminus Y)} (\rho'_{P'})^2 \Big(\frac{1-\sqrt{2}\varepsilon}{1+\sqrt{2}\varepsilon}\Big)^2 \frac{1}{(1+2\varepsilon)^4} \\
&\geq \frac{1}{r_{n+1}^2}(1-\varepsilon)\Big(\frac{1-\sqrt{2}\varepsilon}{1+\sqrt{2}\varepsilon}\Big)^2 \frac{1}{(1+2\varepsilon)^4} \sum_{P' \in \mathcal{B}(Q'_1 \setminus Y)} |\psi_{P'}(P')| \\
&\geq \frac{1}{r_{n+1}^2}(1-\varepsilon)\Big(\frac{1-\sqrt{2}\varepsilon}{1+\sqrt{2}\varepsilon}\Big)^4 \frac{(1-2\varepsilon)^4}{(1+2\varepsilon)^{16}} \Big(\frac{1}{2} - 2\varepsilon\Big) r_n^2 \\
&\geq \Big(\frac{r_n}{r_{n+1}}\Big)^2 \Big(\frac{1}{2} - \varepsilon'\Big),
\end{aligned}$$

and our claim is also true in case 2.

So in each of the two cases,

$$\Big(\frac{1}{2} + \varepsilon'\Big)\Big(\frac{r_n}{r_{n+1}}\Big)^2$$

squares of side length r_{n+1} suffice to cover $I_R(E) \cap Q$. Hence we obtain the following: Starting with a square Q of side length r_1 depending on Q, we can cover $I_R(E) \cap Q$ by

$$\Big(\frac{1}{2} + \varepsilon'\Big)^n \Big(\frac{r_1}{r_{n+1}}\Big)^2$$

squares of side length r_{n+1}. It follows (with $h_\gamma(t) = t^2\Phi(1/t)^\gamma$) that

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{H}^{h_\gamma}(I_R(E)\cap Q) &\le \lim_{n\to\infty}\Big(\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon'\Big)^n\Big(\frac{r_1}{r_{n+1}}\Big)^2 h_\gamma(\sqrt{2}r_{n+1})\\
&= \lim_{n\to\infty}\Big(\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon'\Big)^n r_1^2 2\Phi\Big(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}r_{n+1}}\Big)^\gamma\\
&< 2r_1^2\lim_{n\to\infty}\Big(\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon'\Big)^n\Phi((E^{n+1})'(x))^\gamma\\
&\le 2r_1^2\lim_{n\to\infty}\Big(\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon'\Big)^n\Phi(E^{n+1}(2x))^\gamma\\
&= 2r_1^2\lim_{n\to\infty}\Big(\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon'\Big)^n(\beta^{n+1})^\gamma\Phi(2x)^\gamma\\
&= 2r_1^2\beta^\gamma\Phi(2x)^\gamma\lim_{n\to\infty}\Big(\Big(\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon'\Big)\beta^\gamma\Big)^n\\
&= 0,
\end{aligned}$$

because $\beta^\gamma(1/2+\varepsilon') < 1$. We now only need to show that $I_R(E)$ can be covered by countably many such squares Q: For $Q_0 = Q(z_0, r_0, 0)$, choose $x = x(Q_0)$ as before (which defines r_1), and the intersection of $I_R(E)$ with every square of side length r_1 which is completely contained in Q_0 has zero $\mathcal{H}^{h_\gamma}$-measure. Because Q_0 can be covered by finitely many squares of side length r_1 and $I_R(E)$ can clearly be covered by countably many squares of side length r_0, the theorem is proved. □

Observe that because $I(E) \subset \mathcal{J}(E)$, the previous theorem is clearly also true when we replace $\mathcal{J}(E)$ by $I(E)$.

5. The main theorem and its consequences

5.1. **Equivalence of the gauge functions.** In the preceding two sections, we estimated $\mathcal{H}^{h_\gamma}(\mathcal{J}(E_\lambda))$ for $\gamma > 0$, where $h_\gamma = h_{\lambda',\gamma}$ with fixed $\lambda' \in (0, 1/e)$. One major difference between section 3 and section 4 is that λ was an arbitrary element of $\mathbb{C}\setminus\{0\}$ in section 3, whereas λ had to equal λ' in section 4. In this section, we will prove that if $\lambda \in (0, 1/e)$, then $\mathcal{J}(E_\lambda)$ still has zero measure with respect to the gauge function h_γ, where γ is chosen such that $\beta^\gamma < 2$. We prove this by showing that two gauge functions h_{λ_1,γ_1} and h_{λ_2,γ_2} are equivalent (in the sense that there exist constants $c, C > 0$ with $c\,h_{\lambda_1,\gamma_1}(t) \le h_{\lambda_2,\gamma_2}(t) \le C\,h_{\lambda_1,\gamma_1}(t)$ if t is small) whenever $\beta_{\lambda_1}^{\gamma_1} = \beta_{\lambda_2}^{\gamma_2}$.

To prove this result, it is more convenient to consider a different parametrization of the exponentials with a real repelling fixed point. Let $\lambda \in (0, 1/e)$ be fixed. If $\mu \in (1,\infty)$ is chosen such that $\mu/e^\mu = \lambda$, i.e. $\mu = \beta_\lambda$, we conjugate E_λ by $z \mapsto z+\mu$ and get a corresponding function

$$\tilde{E}_\mu(z) = \mu(e^z - 1).$$

Then 0 is a repelling fixed point of $\tilde{E}_\mu$ with multiplier μ. If we denote the Poincaré function of $\tilde{E}_\mu$ with respect to 0 by $\tilde{\Phi}_\mu$, it is easy to check that $\tilde{\Phi}_\mu(z) = \Phi_\lambda(z+\mu)$. If we further set $\tilde{h}_{\mu,\gamma}(t) := t^2(\tilde{\Phi}_\mu(1/t))^\gamma$, then it is not hard to see that the gauge functions $\tilde{h}_{\mu,\gamma}$ and $h_{\lambda,\gamma}$ define the same Hausdorff measure.

Consequently, the desired statement follows from

Theorem 5.1 *Let* $1 < \mu_1, \mu_2 < \infty$ *and* $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 > 0$ *such that* $\mu_1^{\gamma_1} = \mu_2^{\gamma_2}$. *Let* $\tilde{\Phi}_{\mu_1}, \tilde{\Phi}_{\mu_2}$ *and* $\tilde{h}_{\mu_1,\gamma_1}, \tilde{h}_{\mu_2,\gamma_2}$ *be defined as above. Then there exist constants* $c, C > 0$ *such that*

$$c < \frac{\tilde{h}_{\mu_1,\gamma_1}(t)}{\tilde{h}_{\mu_2,\gamma_2}(t)} < C$$

for every $t > 0$ *small enough.*

Proof. First, for an arbitrary $\mu \in (1, \infty)$, define

$$L_\mu := (\tilde{E}_\mu|_{\mathbb{R}})^{-1}.$$

Then L_μ is defined on $(-\mu, \infty)$ and a simple computation shows that

$$L_\mu(x) = \log\Big(1 + \frac{x}{\mu}\Big).$$

Choose $x_1(\mu)$ with

$$L_\mu(x) \le \log x \text{ whenever } x \ge x_1(\mu) \tag{5.1}$$

and

$$x_0(\mu) := L_\mu(x_1(\mu)) > 1.$$

The functional equation for $\tilde{\Phi}_\mu$ gives

$$\tilde{\Phi}_\mu(L_\mu^n(x)) = \frac{1}{\mu^n}\tilde{\Phi}_\mu(x) \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Let $x \ge x_1(\mu)$ and $n_\mu(x) \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$L_\mu^{n_\mu(x)}(x) \in [x_0(\mu), x_1(\mu)).$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\Phi}_\mu(x) &= \lim_{n\to\infty} \mu^n L_\mu^n(x)\\ &= \lim_{n\to\infty} \mu^n L_\mu^{n-n_\mu(x)}(L_\mu^{n_\mu(x)}(x))\\ &= \mu^{n_\mu(x)} \lim_{n\to\infty} \mu^{n-n_\mu(x)} L_\mu^{n-n_\mu(x)}(L_\mu^{n_\mu(x)}(x))\\ &= \mu^{n_\mu(x)}\tilde{\Phi}_\mu(L_\mu^{n_\mu(x)}(x)). \qquad (5.2)\end{aligned}$$

By induction, it follows easily from (5.1) and the monoticity of the logarithm that

$$L_\mu^n(x) \le \log^n(x) \text{ if } n \le n_\mu(x). \tag{5.3}$$

We now prove (also by induction) an inequality in the other direction: We claim that

$$L_\mu^n(x) \ge \log^n(x) - \log(\mu) \text{ if } n \le n_\mu(x). \tag{5.4}$$

First of all, observe that

$$L_\mu(x) = \log\Big(1 + \frac{x}{\mu}\Big) = \log\Big(\frac{x}{\mu}\Big) + \log\Big(1 + \frac{\mu}{x}\Big) > \log x - \log \mu,$$

so the inequality is true for $n = 1$. Now suppose that it holds for some $n < n_\mu(x)$. Then it follows from (5.3) that $\log^{n+1}(x) \geq x_0(\mu) > 1$, in particular $\log^{n+1}(x)$ is well defined. We compute

$$\begin{aligned} L_\mu^{n+1}(x) &= L_\mu(L_\mu^n(x)) \\ &> L_\mu(\log^n(x) - \log(\mu)) \\ &= \log\Big(1 + \frac{\log^n(x) - \log(\mu)}{\mu}\Big) \\ &= \log^{n+1}(x) - \log(\mu) + \log\Big(1 + \frac{\mu}{\log^n(x)} - \frac{\log(\mu)}{\log^n(x)}\Big) \\ &> \log^{n+1}(x) - \log(\mu), \end{aligned}$$

which finishes the proof of (5.4). We have thus proved that for every $\mu \in (1, \infty)$,

$$\log^n(x) - \log\mu \leq L_\mu^n(x) \leq \log^n(x) \tag{5.5}$$

for all $x \geq x_1(\mu)$ and $n \leq n_\mu(x)$.

Let such $x_1(\mu_1)$ and $x_1(\mu_2)$ be chosen for μ_1 and μ_2 and define

$$x_1 := \max\{x_1(\mu_1), x_1(\mu_2)\}.$$

We show that the difference between $n_{\mu_1}(x)$ and $n_{\mu_2}(x)$ is uniformly bounded in x. Let $x \geq x_1$. Without loss of generality we may assume that $n_{\mu_1}(x) \geq n_{\mu_2}(x)$. Using (5.5), we obtain

$$x_0(\mu_1) \leq \log^{n_{\mu_1}(x)}(x) \leq L_\mu^{n_{\mu_1}(x)}(x) + \log\mu_1 \leq x_1 + \log\mu_1$$

as well as the corresponding statement for μ_2. If we define $x_0 := \min\{x_0(\mu_1), x_0(\mu_2)\}$, then

$$\log^{n_{\mu_j}(x)}(x) \in [x_0, x_1 + \log\max_i \mu_i] \text{ for all } x \geq x_1, j = 1, 2.$$

Now suppose that (x_k) is a sequence of real numbers tending to ∞ such that

$$|(n_{\mu_1} - n_{\mu_2})(x_k)| \to \infty.$$

Because $\log^{n_{\mu_1}(x_k)}(x_k)$ is bounded below by x_0 and $\log^{n_{\mu_2}(x_k)}(x_k)$ is bounded above by $M = x_1 + (1+\varepsilon)\log\max_i \mu_i$, we obtain

$$x_0 \leq \log^{n_{\mu_1}(x_k)}(x_k) = \log^{(n_{\mu_1} - n_{\mu_2})(x_k)}(\log^{n_{\mu_2}(x_k)}(x_k)) \leq \log^{(n_{\mu_1} - n_{\mu_2})(x_k)}(M),$$

which is clearly impossible. It follows that there exists some constant K with

$$|n_{\mu_1}(x) - n_{\mu_2}(x)| \leq K \text{ for all } x \geq x_1, \tag{5.6}$$

thus this difference is uniformly bounded in x. Let $n(x) := n_{\mu_1}(x)$. We use (5.2) to deduce that for $i = 1, 2$ and $x \geq x_1$,

$$\tilde{\Phi}_{\mu_i}(x) \begin{cases} \leq \mu_i^{n_{\mu_i}(x)} \tilde{\Phi}_{\mu_i}(x_1) =: \mu_i^{n_{\mu_i}(x)} B_i \\ \geq \mu_i^{n_{\mu_i}(x)} \tilde{\Phi}_{\mu_i}(x_0) =: \mu_i^{n_{\mu_i}(x)} A_i \end{cases}$$

By passing to $A := \min_i A_i$ and $B := \max_i B_i$ and using that $n_{\mu_2}(x) \in [n(x) - K, n(x) + K]$ by (5.6), it follows that

$$\mu_2^{n(x)} A \mu_2^{-K} \leq \tilde{\Phi}_{\mu_2}(x) \leq \mu_2^{n(x)} B \mu_2^K$$

and that

$$\mu_1^{n(x)}A \leq \tilde{\Phi}_{\mu_1}(x) \leq \mu_2^{n(x)}B$$

for all $x \geq x_1$. Thus there exist constants $K_1, K_2 > 0$ with

$$K_1 \leq \frac{\tilde{\Phi}_{\mu_j}(x)}{\mu_j^{n(x)}} \leq K_2 \text{ for all } x \geq x_1, j = 1, 2.$$

Now we compare $\tilde{\Phi}_{\mu_1}$ and $\tilde{\Phi}_{\mu_2}$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\Phi}_{\mu_1}(x) &\leq K_2\mu_1^{n(x)} \\ &= K_2(\mu_2^{n(x)})^{\frac{\log(\mu_1)}{\log(\mu_2)}} \\ &\leq K_2\frac{1}{K_1^{\frac{\log(\mu_1)}{\log(\mu_2)}}}(\tilde{\Phi}_{\mu_2}(x))^{\frac{\log(\mu_1)}{\log(\mu_2)}} \\ &=: C'\,(\tilde{\Phi}_{\mu_2}(x))^{\frac{\log(\mu_1)}{\log(\mu_2)}}\end{aligned}$$

and analogously

$$\tilde{\Phi}_{\mu_1}(x) \geq K_1\frac{1}{K_2^{\frac{\log(\mu_1)}{\log(\mu_2)}}}(\tilde{\Phi}_{\mu_2}(x))^{\frac{\log(\mu_1)}{\log(\mu_2)}} =: c'\,(\tilde{\Phi}_{\mu_2}(x))^{\frac{\log(\mu_1)}{\log(\mu_2)}}.$$

Let $a := \mu_1^{\gamma_1} = \mu_2^{\gamma_2}$, i.e.

$$\gamma_i = \frac{\log a}{\log \mu_i} \text{ for } i = 1, 2.$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\Phi}_{\mu_1}(x)^{\gamma_1} &= \tilde{\Phi}_{\mu_1}(x)^{\frac{\log a}{\log \mu_1}} \\ &\leq C'^{\frac{\log a}{\log \mu_1}}\,\tilde{\Phi}_{\mu_2}(x)^{\frac{\log a}{\log \mu_1}\frac{\log \mu_1}{\log \mu_2}} \\ &=: C\tilde{\Phi}_{\mu_2}(x)^{\frac{\log a}{\log \mu_2}} \\ &= C\tilde{\Phi}_{\mu_2}(x)^{\gamma_2}\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, there exists $c > 0$ such that

$$\tilde{\Phi}_{\mu_1}(x)^{\gamma_1} \geq c\tilde{\Phi}_{\mu_2}(x)^{\gamma_2}$$

for all $x \geq x_1$. Thus, by the definition of $\tilde{h}_{\mu_i,\gamma_i}$,

$$c\tilde{h}_{\mu_2,\gamma_2}(t) \leq \tilde{h}_{\mu_1,\gamma_1}(t) \leq C\tilde{h}_{\mu_2,\gamma_2}(t)$$

for all $t > 0$ such that $1/t \geq x_1$. □

Corollary 5.2 *Let $0 < \lambda_1, \lambda_2 < 1/e$ and $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 > 0$ such that $\beta_{\lambda_1}^{\gamma_1} = \beta_{\lambda_2}^{\gamma_2}$. Let $\Phi_{\lambda_1}, \Phi_{\lambda_2}$ and $h_{\lambda_1,\gamma_1}, h_{\lambda_2,\gamma_2}$ be defined as before. Then there exist constants $c, C > 0$ such that*

$$c < \frac{h_{\lambda_1,\gamma_1}(t)}{h_{\lambda_2,\gamma_2}(t)} < C$$

if $t > 0$ is small enough.

5.2. **Proof of the main theorem.** The proof of the main theorem is now just a combination of the results we achieved in the preceding sections.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. For the proof of the first statement, let $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}\setminus\{0\}$. Choose $\gamma > 0$ such that

$$\frac{\log g(t)}{\log \Phi_{\lambda_0}(1/t)} \geq \gamma > K_{\lambda_0} \text{ for } t \text{ small enough.} \tag{5.7}$$

Then

$$g(t) \geq \Phi_{\lambda_0}(1/t)^\gamma$$

if t is small enough, which clearly implies that

$$\mathcal{H}^h(\mathcal{J}(E_\lambda)) \geq \mathcal{H}^{h_{\lambda_0,\gamma}}(\mathcal{J}(E_\lambda)).$$

Further, (5.7) shows that $\beta^\gamma_{\lambda_0} > 2$. Thus $\mathcal{H}^{h_{\lambda_0,\gamma}}(\mathcal{J}(E_\lambda)) = \infty$ by Theorem 3.9, and the first statement is proven.

Now we prove the second statement. The hypothesis implies that there exists $\gamma_0 > 0$ with

$$\frac{\log g(t)}{\log \Phi_{\lambda_0}(1/t)} \leq \gamma_0 < K_{\lambda_0} \text{ for } t \text{ small enough.} \tag{5.8}$$

From (5.8), it follows that $\beta^{\gamma_0}_{\lambda_0} < 2$. Now choose $\lambda \in (0, 1/e)$ and $\gamma > 0$ such that $\beta^\gamma_\lambda = \beta^{\gamma_0}_{\lambda_0}$. It follows from Corollary 5.2 that there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$\Phi_{\lambda_0}\Big(\frac{1}{t}\Big)^{\gamma_0} \leq C\Phi_\lambda\Big(\frac{1}{t}\Big)^\gamma$$

if t is small enough. Hence

$$g(t) \leq \Phi_{\lambda_0}\Big(\frac{1}{t}\Big)^{\gamma_0} \leq C\Phi_\lambda\Big(\frac{1}{t}\Big)^\gamma,$$

which implies that

$$\mathcal{H}^h(\mathcal{J}(E_\lambda)) \leq C\mathcal{H}^{h_{\lambda,\gamma}}(\mathcal{J}(E_\lambda)) = 0$$

by Theorem 4.4. Thus the second statement is proven. □

Note that by the remarks after the proof of Theorem 3.9 and Theorem 4.4, the statement remains true if we replace $\mathcal{J}(E_\lambda)$ by $I(E_\lambda)$.

5.3. **Consequences.** Finally, we show how results of Astala and Clop, as well as Rempe, combined with Theorem 4.1, can be used to give similar estimates for Julia sets of hyperbolic and escaping sets of arbitrary exponential maps, but - at least a priori - at the expense of the optimal constant K_{λ_0}. Rempe ([12], see also [13]) proved that for every $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$, there exists $R > 0$ and a K-quasiconformal map $\phi : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}$ such that

$$E \circ \phi = \phi \circ E_\lambda \text{ on } I_R(E_\lambda).$$

In fact, he proved a more general theorem, but here we only need this result. Because ϕ is a conjugacy on a subset of $I(E_\lambda)$, it follows easily that

$$\phi(I_R(E_\lambda)) \subset I(E). \tag{5.9}$$

Recently, Astala and Clop (oral communication) proved the following result:

Theorem 5.3 *Let* $\gamma > 0$ *and* $h_\gamma(t) = t^2 g(1/t)^\gamma$ *be a function such that* g *satisfies the following three assumptions:*

- g *is monotonically increasing and smooth*
- $\lim\limits_{t\to\infty} g(t)/t^\alpha = \begin{cases} \infty & \alpha \le 0 \\ 0 & \alpha > 0 \end{cases}$
- *For each* $\alpha > 0$*, there exists* $C_\alpha > 0$ *and* $t_\alpha > 0$ *such that*
$$\frac{1}{C_\alpha} g(t) \le g(t^\alpha) \le C_\alpha g(t)$$
whenever $t \ge t_\alpha$.

Let $\varphi : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}$ *be a* K*-quasiconformal mapping and let* $F \subset \mathbb{C}$ *be compact with* $\mathcal{H}^{h_\gamma}(F) = 0$. *Then*
$$\mathcal{H}^{h_\delta}(\varphi(F)) = 0 \text{ for every } \delta < \frac{\gamma}{K}.$$

It is easy to see that Φ satisfies the three assumptions from the theorem. By Theorem 4.4, we have $\mathcal{H}^{h_\gamma}(\mathcal{J}(E)) = 0$, in particular $\mathcal{H}^{h_\gamma}(\mathcal{J}(E) \cap \overline{D(0,n)}) = 0$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $\delta < \gamma/K$. Because $\mathcal{J}(E) \cap \overline{D(0,n)}$ is a compact set and ϕ^{-1} is also a K-quasiconformal mapping, it follows from Theorem 5.3 and $I(E) \subset \mathcal{J}(E)$ that
$$\mathcal{H}^{h_\delta}(\phi^{-1}(I(E) \cap \overline{D(0,n)})) = 0 \text{ for every } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$
Since
$$\phi^{-1}(I(E)) = \bigcup_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \phi^{-1}(I(E) \cap \overline{D(0,n)}),$$
this implies $\mathcal{H}^{h_\delta}(\phi^{-1}(I(E))) = 0$. Now, we conclude from (5.9) that $\mathcal{H}^{h_\delta}(I_R(E_\lambda)) = 0$, and Lemma 4.3 yields $\mathcal{H}^{h_\delta}(I(E_\lambda)) = 0$. Thus we obtain

Theorem 5.4 *For every* $\lambda_0 \in (0, 1/e)$ *and* $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}\backslash\{0\}$, *there exist constants* $K_1, K_2 > 0$ *(where* $K_1 = \log 2/\log \beta_{\lambda_0}$*) with the following property:*
Let $h(t) = t^2 g(t)$ *be a gauge function.*

(a) *If*
$$\liminf_{t\to 0} \frac{\log g(t)}{\log \Phi_{\lambda_0}(1/t)} > K_1,$$
then $\mathcal{H}^h(I(E_\lambda)) = \infty$. *The measure* $\mathcal{H}^h$ *is not even* σ*-finite on* $I(E_\lambda)$.

(b) *If*
$$\limsup_{t\to 0} \frac{\log g(t)}{\log \Phi_{\lambda_0}(1/t)} < K_2,$$
then $\mathcal{H}^h(I(E_\lambda)) = 0$.

In the hyperbolic case, we get the same result for $\mathcal{J}(E_\lambda)$ by Theorem 4.1, because in this situation, the set $\mathcal{J}(E_\lambda)\backslash I(E_\lambda)$ has zero $\mathcal{H}^{h_{\lambda_0,\gamma}}$-measure for every $\lambda_0 \in (0, 1/e)$ and every $\gamma > 0$. We state this result for completeness:

Theorem 5.5 *For every* $\lambda_0 \in (0, 1/e)$ *and* $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}\backslash\{0\}$ *such that* E_λ *is hyperbolic, there exist constants* $K_1, K_2 > 0$ *(where* $K_1 = \log 2/\log \beta_{\lambda_0}$*) with the following property:*
Let $h(t) = t^2 g(t)$ *be a gauge function.*

(a) *If*

$$\liminf_{t\to 0} \frac{\log g(t)}{\log \Phi_{\lambda_0}(1/t)} > K_1,$$

then $\mathcal{H}^h(\mathcal{J}(E_\lambda)) = \infty$. *The measure* $\mathcal{H}^h$ *is not even* σ*-finite on* $\mathcal{J}(E_\lambda)$.

(b) *If*

$$\limsup_{t\to 0} \frac{\log g(t)}{\log \Phi_{\lambda_0}(1/t)} < K_2,$$

then $\mathcal{H}^h(\mathcal{J}(E_\lambda)) = 0$.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

[1] A.F. Beardon, *Iteration of rational functions*, Springer, Berlin, 1991

[2] W. Bergweiler, *Iteration of meromorphic functions*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.) **29** (1993), 151–188

[3] R.L. Devaney, *Complex exponential dynamics*, to appear in Volume 3 of the Handbook of Dynamical Systems. Available at http://math.bu.edu/people/bob/papers.html

[4] R.L. Devaney and M. Krych, *Dynamics of* $\exp(z)$, Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems **4** (1984), 35–52

[5] A.È. Eremenko and M. Lyubich, *Dynamical properties of some classes of entire functions*, Ann. Inst. Fourier **42** (1992), 989–1020

[6] A.È. Eremenko and M. Lyubich, *Structural stability in some families of entire functions*, Functional Analysis and its Applications **19** (1985), no. 4, 323–324 (english translation)

[7] B. Karpińska, *Area and Hausdorff dimension of the set of accessible points of the Julia sets of* λe^z *and* $\lambda \sin z$, Fund. Math. **159** (1999), 267–287

[8] B. Karpińska, *Hausdorff dimension of the hairs without endpoints for* $\lambda \exp z$, C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I: Math. **328** (1999), 1039–1044

[9] C. McMullen, *Area and Hausdorff dimension of Julia sets of entire functions*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **300** (1987), 329–342

[10] J. Milnor, *Dynamics in one complex variable*, Friedr. Vieweg & Sohn, Braunschweig, 1999

[11] F. Przytycki and M. Urbański, *Fractals in the plane - the ergodic theory methods*, Cambridge University Press, to appear. Available at http://www.math.unt.edu/~ urbanski/book1.html

[12] L. Rempe, *Topological dynamics of exponential maps on their escaping sets*, Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems **26** (2006), 1939–1975

[13] L. Rempe, *Rigidity of escaping dynamics for transcendental entire functions*, to appear in Acta Mathematica, arXiv:math/0605058v3

[14] C.A. Rogers, *Hausdorff measures*, Cambridge University Press, London, 1970

[15] D. Schleicher, *Attracting dynamics of exponential maps*, Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Math **28** (2003), 3–34

[16] D. Schleicher and J. Zimmer, *Escaping points of exponential maps*, J. London Math. Soc. **67** (2003), 380–400

[17] N. Steinmetz, *Rational iteration*, de Gruyter, Berlin, 1993

[18] M. Urbański and A. Zdunik, *The finer geometry and dynamics of the hyperbolic exponential family*, Michigan Math. J. **51** (2003), 227–250

MATHEMATISCHES SEMINAR, CHRISTIAN-ALBRECHTS-UNIVERSITÄT ZU KIEL, 24098 KIEL, GERMANY

Current address: Departament de Matemàtica Aplicada i Anàlisi, Universitat de Barcelona, 08007 Barcelona, Spain

E-mail address: `peter@math.uni-kiel.de`